## Visualization of High Dimensional Scientific Data

**Roberto Tagliaferri and Antonino Staiano** 

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,

University of Salerno, Italy

{robtag,astaiano}@unisa.it

Copyright © Roberto Tagliaferri and Antonino Staiano

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2

\_\_\_\_



Abstract: the recent technological advances are producing huge data sets in almost all fields of scientific research, from astronomy to genetics. Although each research field often requires ad-hoc, fine tuned, procedures to properly exploit all the available information inherently present in the data, there is an urgent need for a new generation of general computational theories and tools capable to boost most human activities of data analysis. Traditional data analysis methods, in fact, are inadequate to cope with such exponential growth in the data volume and especially in the data complexity (ten or hundreds of dimensions of the parameter space). Among the data mining methodologies, visualization plays a key role in developing good models for data especially when the quantity of data is large. For a scientist, i.e. the expert in a specific domain, is essential the need for a visual environment that facilitates exploring high-dimensional data dependent on many parameters. Data visualization is an important means of extracting useful information from large quantities of raw data. The human eye and brain together make a formidable pattern detection tool, but for them to work the data must be represented in a low-dimensional space, usually two or three dimensions. Even quite simple relationship can seem very obscure when the data is presented in tabular form, but are often very easy to see by visual inspection. Many algorithms for data visualization have been proposed by both neural computing and statistics communities, most of which are based on a projection of the data onto a two or three dimensional visualization space. This tutorial embraces a number of these visualization techniques both linear and nonlinear: Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Probabilistic PCA (PPCA), Mixture of PPCA. PCA, PPCA and mixture of PPCA are appropriate when the data is linear or approximately piece-wise linear. An alternative approach is to use global nonlinear methods such as Self Organizing Maps (SOM). However, SOM does not define any density model and suffers of other drawbacks which can be overcame employing nonlinear latent variable models: Generative Topographic Mapping (GTM) and Probabilistic Principal Surfaces (PPS). Finally, the tutorial reviews hierarchical linear (based on mixture of PPCA) and nonlinear (based on GTM) latent variable models and concludes by illustrating a new proposed hierarchical model based on PPS.





Intro: Data Visualization	
Visualization plays a key role in developing good models fo data, especially when the quantity of data is large.	r
It allows the user to interact with and query the data more effectively.	
<ul> <li>It is an important aid in feature selection, gives information about local deviations in performance and provides a useful 'sanity check' for objective quantitative measures (such as generalization performance).</li> </ul>	
It plays an important role in the search for clusters of similar data points, which are most easily determined by eye.	
The quantity and complexity of many datasets means that simple visualization methods, such as Principal Component Analysis, are not very effective.	
 IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2	5



The Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (or GOODS) is an international project which joins together NASA, ESA (European Space Agency) and some of the most powerful ground-based facilities, to survey the distant universe to the faintest flux limits across the broadest range of wavelengths. At the end of the project, GOODS will survey a total of roughly 320 square arcminutes in two fields centered on the Hubble<sup>1</sup> Deep Field North and the Chandra<sup>2</sup> Deep Field South, respectively. The GOODS catalogue used in this tutorial is composed by 28405 objects. Each object has been measured in 7 optical bands, namely U,B,V,R,I,J,K bands. For each band 3 different parameters, geometric (Kron radius) and photometric (Flux and Magnitudes) were measured, adding up to 21 parameters for each object in the catalogue. Objects are classified as angularly resolved (or galaxies, in the astronomical jargon) and non resolved (stars). Moreover, GOODS (and more in general astronomical surveys) data present a further peculiarity: the majority of the objects are "drop outs", id est they are detected only in some bands and not detected in the others due to either instrumental (different detection limits) or intrinsic (different spectral properties) reasons. Without entering into details we must stress that the characterization of an object as a "dropout" (id est as an object with a strong relative flux difference between two or more spectral regions) is very important from the astronomical point of view since it allows to discriminate among different classes of celestial objects. From our statistical clustering point of view, therefore, the data set contains four classes of objects, namely stars, galaxies, stars which are drop outs and galaxies which are drop outs (at this stage, we do not take into account the number of bands for which an object is a drop out).

<sup>1</sup> Hubble Space Telescope

<sup>2</sup> Satellite for X-ray Surveys

## Traditional Visualization Methods Scatter Plots

- Scatter Plot: simple plot of one variable against another.
- Scatter Plot Matrix: matrix of scatter plots showing the relationship between several pairs of variables.
- Useful for determining whether the values of two variables or the relationship between those variables is the same.

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2







For a comprehensive review please refer to:

Bishop, C. M., Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995





As the figure suggests, high nonlinear complex data can not be effectively characterized by linear PCA and ...



... the 3D representation can not help us more than the 2D plots!!!





The idea behind latent variable models is to have a sound probabilistic model describing the generative process underlying a set of user data points. This model is expressed in terms of two spaces: the original data space and an auxiliary space, called latent space, which needs to be of lower dimension. This latter issue can be useful exploited for visualization purpose if one chooses a latent space of 2 or at most 3 dimensions. Here we provide a theoretical review of latent variables defining the way the model can be probabilistically defined and giving details about the link between the latent space and the original data space.

A complete review of latent variable models can be found in:

Bishop, C. M., Latent variable models. In M. I. Jordan (Ed.), Learning in Graphical Models, pp. 371–403. MIT Press, 1999.







Refer to:

M. E. Tipping, C. M. Bishop, **Probabilistic principal component analysis**, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B **21**(3), 611–622, 1999.







Refer to:

M. E. Tipping, C. M. Bishop, **Mixtures of probabilistic principal component analyzers**, Neural Computation **11**(2), 443–482, 1999.





PCA, PPCA and mixture of PPCA are appropriate when the data is linear or approximately piece-wise linear. An alternative approach is to use global nonlinear methods: Self Organizing Maps (SOM), a neural network algorithm based on a competitive learning which summarizes a set of data vectors in a high-dimensional space by a set of reference vectors organized on a lower dimensional sheet (usually two dimensional). SOM has been used for a wide variety of applications thanks to its simplicity and for its several plotting options.

For theoretical details refer to:

S. Kaski, **Data Exploration Using Self Organizing Maps**, PhD Thesis, Helsinki Institute of Technology, 1997.

T. Kohonen, Self Organizing Maps, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995.

J. Vesanto, **SOM-Based Data Visualization Methods**, Intelligent Data Analysis Journal, 1999.

For details concerning with application to astrophysical data, refer to:

R. Tagliaferri R., G. Longo, A. Staiano A. et al., **Neural Networks in Astronomy**, in Neural Networks. Special Issue on Neural networks for analysis of complex scientific data: Astronomy and Geosciences, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo, D'Argenio B. (Eds.), vol. 16 (3-4), 2003.

R. Tagliaferri R., G. Longo, A. Staiano et al., **Applications of Neural Networks in Astronomy and Astroparticle Physics**, invited review on "Recent Research developments in Astronomy and Astrophysics", 2 (2005), pp.27-58, by Research Signpost.



## Global nonlinear models SOM

<u>U-Matrix</u> (Unified distance matrix)

Visualizes the clustering structures of the SOM as distances (in the assumed metric) between neighboring map units, thus high values of the Umatrix indicate a cluster border, uniform areas of low values indicate clusters themselves.

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2





For each input parameter the corresponding map structure is computed. In this way the relation between the input parameters can be analyzed. If one or more input parameters lead to the same map structure this could mean that the parameters are redundant and so some of them could be removed.



This graphical representation allows to derive the influence of each input parameter on each neuron of the map...



...the same kind of graphical representation in another fashion. These kind of visualizations allow to derive the importance of each parameter in order to characterize the input data points. Eventually one could exploits this knowledge for parameter selection.

Global nonlinear models SOM	
<u>Advantages</u>	
<ul> <li>The SOM algorithm is quick in convergence.</li> <li>It is good in pre-analysis.</li> <li>In many problems it is good enough.</li> </ul>	
Limitations	
<ul> <li>The SOM algorithm is not derived by optimizing an objective function.</li> <li>SOM does not define a density model.</li> <li>Neighbourhood preservation is not guaranteed by the SOM procedure.</li> </ul>	
IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2	30

Although SOM provides easy of computation and powerful visualizations it, indeed, does not define any density model and suffers of other drawbacks which can be overcame employing nonlinear latent variable models...



Refer to:

C. M. Bishop, M. Svensèn, C. K. I. Williams, **GTM: the Generative Topographic Mapping**, Neural Computation **10**(1), 215–234, 1998.

For details concerning with application to astrophysical data, refer to:

R. Tagliaferri R., G. Longo, A. Staiano A. et al., **Neural Networks in Astronomy**, in Neural Networks. Special Issue on Neural networks for analysis of complex scientific data: Astronomy and Geosciences, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo, D'Argenio B. (Eds.), vol. 16 (3-4), 2003.

R. Tagliaferri R., G. Longo, A. Staiano et al., **Applications of Neural Networks in Astronomy and Astroparticle Physics**, invited review on "Recent Research developments in Astronomy and Astrophysics", 2 (2005), pp.27-58, by Research Signpost.









## Nonlinear latent variable models GTM

GTM: topographic ordering

□ Provided the mapping function y(x; W) is smooth and continuous, any two points  $x_A$ and  $x_{B_i}$  which are close in the latent space, will map to points  $y(x_A; W)$  and  $y(x_B; W)$ which are close in the data space.

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2






Two-dimension latent space with input data point projections.

## Nonlinear latent variable models GTM

**Magnification Factors** 

□ We can measure the stretch in the manifold using magnification factors, and this can be used to detect the gaps between data clusters.

More stretched areas indicate gaps between clusters, conversely less stretched areas correspond to regions of high density (clusters).

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2

**40** 



Nonlinear latent variable models Probabilistic Principal Surfaces (PPS) □PPS=GTM+oriented covariance  $\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbf{e}_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{q}^{T}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{(D - \alpha Q)}{\beta (D - Q)} \sum_{d=Q+1}^{D} \mathbf{e}_{d}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{d}^{T}(\mathbf{x})$  $0 < \alpha < D/Q$  $\geq \{e_q(\mathbf{x})\}_{q=1,\dots,Q}$  set of orthonormal vectors tangential to the manifold at y(x; W)  $\geq$  { $e_d(x)$ }<sub>d=Q+1,...,D</sub> set of orthonormal vectors orthogonal to the manifold at y(x; W) 42 IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2

Probabilistic Principal Surfaces are a non linear latent variable model with very powerful visualization and classification capabilities which seem capable to overcome most of the shortcomings of other neural tools such as SOM, GTM, etc. PPS generalizes the GTM model by building a unified model and shares the same formulation as the GTM, except for an oriented covariance structure for the Gaussian mixture in the data space. This means that data points projecting near a principal surface node (i.e., a Gaussian center of the mixture) have higher influences on that node than points projecting far away from it. Particularly interesting is the case in which the latent space is 3 dimensional which allows to project the patters on a spherical manifold (of unit radius) which turns out to be optimal when dealing with sparse data.

For theoretical details refer to:

K. Chang, **Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction Using Probabilistic Principal Surfaces,** PhD thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, USA, 2000

K. Chang, J. Ghosh, **A unified model for probabilistic principal surfaces**, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 23, (1), 2001

For details concerning application to astrophysics and both visualization enhancement and classification refer to:

A. Staiano, Unsupervised Neural Networks for the Extraction of Scientific Information from Astronomical Data, PhD thesis, Università di Salerno, Italy, 2003.

A. Staiano, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo, P. Benvenuti, **Committee of Spherical Probabilistic Principal Surfaces**, Proceedings of IJCNN 2004.



The figure is taken from K. Chang, **Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction Using Probabilistic Principal Surfaces,** PhD thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, USA, 2000.









The figure is taken from K. Chang, **Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction Using Probabilistic Principal Surfaces,** PhD thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, USA, 2000.

## Nonlinear latent variable models PPS

Spherical PPS visualization (1)

□ A spherical manifold is first fitted to the data.

 $\Box$  The data is projected into the manifold in R<sup>3</sup>.

The projected locations are plotted into R<sup>3</sup> as points on a sphere.

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2

**48** 





A. Staiano, Unsupervised Neural Networks for the Extraction of Scientific Information from Astronomical Data, PhD thesis, Università di Salerno, Italy, 2003.



A latent spherical manifold with data points probabilistic projections.





Latent spherical manifold with data points projections (black dots), and latent variables (cyan bigger dots) superimposed. The user is allowed to:

1)select a data point and color the latent variable which is responsible for it and the remaining points for which the same latent variable is responsible.

2)select a latent variable and color the latent variable and all the points for which it is responsible.

All the points belonging to the same latent variable share some similarity property.



Latent spherical manifold with probability density function superimposed. The red areas are zones with higher probabilities.











Hierarchies of latent variable models
Overview
Most of the visualization algorithms described so far, project the data onto a two-dimensional visualization space
But a single two-dimensional projection, even if nonlinear, may not be sufficient to capture all of the interesting aspects of the data.
This intuition is behind the hierarchical development of a linear latent variable model, namely mixture of PPCA, and the nonlinear counterpart based on the GTM.
LICNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2 60







C. M. Bishop, M. E. Tipping, A hierarchical latent variable model for data visualization, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence **20**(3), 281–293, 1998.



P.Tino, I. Nabney, **Hierarchical GTM: constructing localized nonlinear projection manifolds in a principled way,** Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on ,Volume: 24 , Issue: 5 , May 2002, Pages:639 - 656









A. Ciaramella, A. Staiano, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo, **NEC: an Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering based on Fischer and Negentropy Information**, Proceedings of WIRN 2005, (LNCS Springer volume, to appear)












Obviously, the threshold used in the algorithm determines the clustering results one obtains. An interesting approach we can use here, however, is to exploit an interval of values for the threshold in order to study the substructures hidden in the data. The idea is to have a plot of the threshold values vs the number of corresponding clusters that the algorithm returns and to focus the attention on those threshold values which correspond to plateau's in the plot: these, in fact, reveal a substructure which is a stable configuration of the clustering structure. These approach is especially useful when the user has no a priori information at all about the data under investigation.



Refer to:

R. Amato, A. Ciaramella, A. Staiano, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo, et al., **NEC for Gene Expression Analysis**, Second International Meeting on Computational Intelligence Methods For Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, Crema, Italy, 2005

A. Staiano, A. Ciaramella, G. Raiconi, R. Tagliaferri et al., **Data Visualization Methodologies for Data Mining Systems in Bioinformatics**, Proceedings of IJCNN 2005, special session on Neural Networks Applications in Bioinformatics, Montreal (Canada), 2005

A. Staiano, L. De Vinco, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo et al., **Probabilistic Principal Surfaces for Yeast Gene Microarray Data Mining**, Proceedings of the Fourth IEEE International Conference on Data Mining: ICDM 2004, pp. 202-209, Brighton, UK, 2004

A. Staiano, R. Tagliaferri, G. Longo et al., Novel Techniques for Microarray Data Analysis: Probabilistic Principal Surfaces and Competitive Evolution on Data, Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, Special Issue on Computational Intelligence for Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics, in print.











Gene expression signal vs time



A time window (about 90 min) runs over the signal and the correlation coefficient between the two curve pieces is computed.









The best correlation point is set as time period.



... the two curve pieces are overlapped. Afterwards, their semi difference represents the noise amplitude.



Noise to signal plot in the experiment CDC15. In red are represented the genes of the whole data set while in cyan are the genes used by Spellman et al. This preprocessing step is consistent with the results obtained by Spellman.



Refer to:

Tagliaferri R., Ciaramella A., Milano L., Barone F., Longo G., **Spectral analysis of stellar light curves by means of neural networks**, Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series, 137:391--405, 1999.



It is clear that a method based on PCA gives no visual information at all since the high nonlinearity of the genetic data, therefore...



...we recall to spherical PPS. As it is clear from the figure here the data points become more sparse and several little groups are visible bye eye.



Further studies concerning with the probability density function reveal the presence of several groupings which need to be detailed.





Initializing the NEC algorithm with the PPS previously trained, we studied the threshold values in the interval [0,20]. Zooming on the upper subfigure some little plateaus appear: we decided to investigate on the plateau corresponding to 56 clusters.



For each cluster the prototype behavior is computed and plotted with the corresponding error bars. In each sub plot the behavior of each of the 4 experiment is shown (each experiment is identified by the vertical lines). Furthermore, the numbers on the top of each plot represent the cluster number and the number of its elements, respectively.





Looking at the prototypes it is possible to discriminate between meaningful clusters (the ones with a regular periodic behavior) from the "noisy" ones (the ones with a constant behavior).



So, let's take a look on some significant clusters: they are very well separated and the corresponding points are not very spread on the sphere surface. The p-value computation confirms the importance of the discovered clusters.



The table illustrates a comparison between the 8 clusters computed by Spellman et al. and the 56 clusters found by PPS+NEC. While some clusters share some genes and is evident that some Spellman clusters are divided in two (see, as an example, PPS+NEC clusters 23 and 24 which contains Spellman cluster 2 and that are very similar) or more PPS+NEC clusters, there are other PPS+NEC meaningful clusters (high p-value) which do not contain any Spellman genes. As an example look at PPS+NEC cluster 49...





Here are some biological motivation of cluster 23. The same interesting studies have been done on other meaningful clusters (such as cluster 49).







## Bibliography ...



- ✓ K. Chang, J. Ghosh, A Unified Model for Probabilistic Principal Surfaces, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2001.
- ✓ T. Kohonen, Self-organized formation of topologically correct feature maps, Biological Cybernetics, 43, 1982.
- ✓ C. M. Bishop, M. Svensen, C.K.I. Williams, GTM: The Generative Topographic Mapping, Neural Computation, 10(1), 1998.
- ✓ J. Vesanto, Data Mining Techniques based on the Self-Organizing Maps, PhD Thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, 1997.
- ✓ A. Staiano, Unsupervised Neural Networks for the Extraction of Scientific Information from Astronomical Data, PhD Thesis, University of Salerno, 2003.

IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2

1**04** 

Bibliography	
<ul> <li>A. Staiano, R. Tagliaferri at al., <i>Probabilistic Principal Surfaces for Yeast Gene Microarray Data Mining</i>, Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Data Mining, Brighton (UK), pp. 202-209, 2004.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>P. Tino, I. Nabney, <i>Hierarchical GTM: Constructing Localized</i> <i>Nonlinear Projection Manifolds in a Principled Way</i>, IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol24 6, 2002.</li> </ul>	, N.
<ul> <li>C.M. Bishop, M.E. Tipping, A Hierarchical Latent Variable Model Data Visualization, IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 20,N. 3, 1998.</li> </ul>	for
http://www.statsoft.com/textbook/stmulsca.html	
IJCNN 2005 Tutorial, Montréal, August 2	105