

A UTILITY PERSPECTIVE ON THE MASS. DG INTERCONNECTION TARIFF

John J. Bzura, Ph.D., P.E.
Principal Engineer


National Grid USA Service Company
Northborough, Massachusetts

Distributing Electricity (E) and Natural Gas (G) to Customers in Massachusetts (E),
Rhode Island (E,G), New York (E,G) & New Hampshire (E), + Transmission Services



National Grid

NATIONAL GRID'S *PRESENT* U.S. SERVICE TERRITORY



 National Grid service territory

Presentation Outline

1. OVERVIEW OF MASS. DISTRIBUTED GENERATION (DG) TARIFF
2. CONTRIBUTIONS OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS
3. USE OF SCREENS FOR EXPEDITED APPLICATION PROCESSING
4. SIMPLIFIED CATEGORY – RELIANCE ON STANDARDS
5. EXPEDITED CATEGORY – TRUST IN OTHER STATES
6. CONCLUDING COMMENTS


OVERVIEW COMMENTS ON ALL TYPES OF DG

- ◆ **PV** – growing very significantly in states with rebates
- ◆ **Wind Turbines** – more growth in the .2 – 1.5 MW range
- ◆ **Fuel Cells of All Types** - costs still prohibitive
- ◆ **Residential CHP** - high cost now, but sales could grow
- ◆ **Microturbines** – more sites now, but costs remain high

Mass. Plan: Draw from the Best

- ◆ **IEEE P1547 – 3 years of DGI standards effort**
- ◆ **California Rule 21 – established DGI screens**
- ◆ **New York State PSC – began SIR process**
- ◆ **Texas – DGI process simplified and quicker**
- ◆ **NARUC – a model DGI plan with state options**
- ◆ **Existing Mass. IOU tariffs with DGI benefits**
- ◆ **FERC – some proposed screens & documents**

Figure 1: Schematic of Massachusetts DG Interconnection Process



SIMPLIFIED APPLICATION – 4 BASIC CRITERIA





Figure 1: Schematic of Massachusetts DG Interconnection Process




EXPEDITED APPLICATION – 4 CRITERIA

- 5. Is the DG unit certified by a NRTL or other states? (note 2)
- 6. Is the starting voltage drop screen (criterion) met? (note 3)
- 7. Is the fault current contribution screen met? (note 4)
- 8. Is the transient stability screen met? (note 6)


Yes

Check for minor system modifications

Figure 1: Schematic of Massachusetts DG Interconnection Process



SPOT NETWORK APPLICATION PROCESS



SPOT NETWORK CRITERIA

Is the point of common coupling on a spot network?

Does the facility use a Qualified Inverter (UL 1741) with a power rating of 10 kW or less (1Ø or 3Ø)?

Is the aggregate DG capacity less than 1/15th of the customer's minimum [daytime] load?

Check for minor system modifications

PLANS FOR P1547.6 – DG ON NETWORKS

- ◆ **Over 30 WG members so far; utility people with protection and network knowledge, network device manufacturers, DG manufacturers, consultants & others**
- ◆ **Multiple options to be explored, e.g., more communication links, two-step protection against reverse power flow, advanced solid-state devices, and new concepts**
- ◆ **Good base of relevant publications has been assembled**
- ◆ **Meeting every six months or so; next in Las Vegas 8/3-4**

Conclusions

- ◆ **After two years of use, DG contractors have said that the Simplified process is easy to understand and simple to carry out.**
- ◆ **For inverter-based technology, the Expedited process is almost as simple and prompt as the Simplified process.**
- ◆ **Manufacturers are aware of inverter advantages, and at least two DG companies plan to shift output from induction generators to inverters.**
- ◆ **Good experience to date has led us to include 3-phase inverters up to 25 kW in the Simplified process.**
- ◆ **Progress is being made on spot and area network distribution system interconnections through the IEEE P1457.6 Standards Working Group.**