

IEEE Magnetics Society

IEEE



- 3000 full members
- 300 student members
- The Society
 - Conference organization (INTERMAG, MMM, TMRC, etc.)
 - Student support for conferences
 - Large conference discounts for members
 - Graduate Student Summer Schools
 - Local chapter activities
 - Distinguished lectures
- **IEEE Transactions on Magnetics**
 - ~ 2000 peer reviewed pages each year
 - Electronic access to all IEEE Transactions on Magnetics papers
- Online applications for IEEE membership: www.ieee.org/join
 - 360,000 members
 - IEEE student membership
 IEEE full membership

A Second Second

IEEE MAGNETICS LETTERS

The Journal of Your Field

- Peer reviewed
- Rapid publication
- > IEEE Xplore archive
- >Worldwide circulation

Section States

- Four-page articles
- > No page charges



On line at <u>ieeexplore.ieee.org</u> Submit manuscripts at <u>mc.manuscriptcentral.com/maglet-ieee</u>

Magneto-optical Analysis of Magnetic Microstructures*

R. Schäfer,

Leibniz Inst. for Solid State and Materials Research (IFW) Dresden, Germany

*Review of Magnetic Domains, studied (mostly) by Kerr microscopy

What are magnetic domains ?

What are magnetic domains ?

(100) iron whisker



0.2 mm

Easy axes

What are magnetic domains?

CoPt multilayer (7 nm thick), sample courtesy Tom Moore and Alex Bellew, Leeds



Magnetic Domains: are dynamic if excited by magnetic field

What are magnetic domains?



Magneto-optical Kerr microscopy



















Kerr microscope

Digital contrast enhancement (difference image technique)



Original image



Reference image



Difference image

Important: Difference Imaging in real time !

Nike <u>silm</u>

amorphous ribbon

Comparison of Domain Obervation Techniques



MFM: Magnetic Force Microscopy SPT: Spin-Polarized Tunneling SEM: Scanning (reflection) Electron Microscopy TEM: Transmission Electron Microscopy

Resolution of Kerr microscopy



Resolution determined by constructive interference Diffraction-limited image formation <u>0.5 x</u> **Rayleigh equation:** d = NA d = separation between particles, still allowing to see them λ = wavelength NA = numerical aperture of objective $NA = n \sin\theta$ θ = half the cone angle of Θ light accepted by objective n = referaction index of medium between sample and objective Best around 200-300 nm

Resolution of Kerr microscopy



(sample: Axel Carl, Duisburg)

Resolution of Kerr microscopy

Nanowires (2 µm long) of magnetic film system with perpendicular anisotropy

Positive remanence

Negative remanence

Demagnetized



sample courtesy Jimmy Zhu and Matt Moneck, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh

FePt layer (16 nm thick), sample courtesy P. He and S.M. Zhou, Fudan





FePt layer (16 nm thick), sample courtesy P. He and S.M. Zhou, Fudan



10 µm

FePt layer (16 nm thick), sample courtesy P. He and S.M. Zhou, Fudan



FePt layer (16 nm thick), sample courtesy P. He and S.M. Zhou, Fudan



Image is folded by pointspread-function of microscope
→ loss of information
→ recovery of lost information
by mathematical deconvolution
→ enhancement of resolution
down to 50 nm regime

together with N.Gorn & D.Berkov, Innovent Jena (under development)

FePt layer (16 nm thick), sample courtesy P. He and S.M. Zhou, Fudan





together with N.Gorn & D.Berkov, Innovent Jena (under development)

Sample courtesy M. Shibihan and S.M. Zhou, Tongji University (Shanghai)

FePd (15 nm)

FePt (20 nm)



Magnetic field

10 μn

Sample courtesy M. Shibihan and S.M. Zhou, Tongji University (Shanghai)

FePd (15 nm)

FePt (20 nm)



10 µm

__ ._ _ .. _ . . _ . . .

Sample courtesy M. Shibihan and S.M. Zhou, Tongji University (Shanghai)



FePd (15 nm)

FePt (20 nm)

Sample courtesy M. Shibihan and S.M. Zhou, Tongji University (Shanghai)



together with N. Gorn & D.Berkov, Innovent Jena (under development)

Comparison of Domain Obervation Techniques



MFM: Magnetic Force Microscopy SPT: Spin-Polarized Tunneling SEM: Scanning (reflection) Electron Microscopy TEM: Transmission Electron Microscopy

Comparison of Domain Obervation Techniques



MFM: Magnetic Force Microscopy SPT: Spin-Polarized Tunneling SEM: Scanning (reflection) Electron Microscopy TEM: Transmission Electron Microscopy

Why magnetic microstructure analysis?

Descriptive levels of magn. materials M

5. Magnetization curve

H



1. Atomic Level Theory

Descriptive levels of magn. materials M

5. Magnetization curve

H

Magnetic Microstructure Analysis



1. Atomic Level Theory
Descriptive levels of magn. materials M

*****	****************	
****	*** ********************	
*****	*****	
*****	*****	
*****	***************	
*****	**************	

5. Magnetization curve

H

Magnetic Microstructure Analysis



1. Atomic Level Theory

Descriptive levels of magn. materials

5. Magnetization curve

H

M

Magnetic Microstructure Analysis



1. Atomic Level Theory

Descriptive levels of magn. materials

 $m = M/M_{\rm s}$

5. Magnetization curve

H

M

Magnetic Microstructure Analysis



1. Atomic Level Theory

Descriptive levels of magn. materials M

5. Magnetization curve

H

Magnetic Microstructure Analysis



1. Atomic Level Theory

 $m(r), m^2 = 1$

Descriptive levels of magn. materials M

5. Magnetization curve

H

Magnetic Microstructure Analysis



1. Atomic Level Theory

 $m(r), m^2 = 1$

Descriptive levels of magn. materials



2. Micromagnetic Analysis



3. Domain Analysis

5. Magnetization curve

M



H

4. Phase Analysis

1. Atomic Level Theory

Spin

C



























Co₂₇Sm₇₃ amorphous film (thickness 200 nm)



Sample: F. Magnus and B. Hjörvarsson, Uppsala University (unpublished)



Reversal of Ni₈₁Fe₁₉ (30 nm) / NiO (30 nm)



Reversal of Ni₈₁Fe₁₉ (30 nm) / NiO (30 nm)



Reversal of Ni₈₁Fe₁₉ (30 nm) / NiO (30 nm)



St. Andrews



 $Co_{90}Fe_{10}$ (20 nm) $Ir_{23}Mn_{77}$ (10 nm)

J. McCord, R.S., R. Mattheis, K.-U. Barholz: J. Appl. Phys. 93, 5491 (2003)

Contraction of the second



Co₉₀Fe₁₀ (20 nm) Ir₂₃Mn₇₇ (10 nm)

J. McCord, R.S., R. Mattheis, K.-U. Barholz: J. Appl. Phys. 93, 5491 (2003)



indication of strong anisotropy dispersion

Biquadratic coupling in multilayers



M. Rührig, RS, et al., Phys. Stat. Sol. 125 (1991)

Loss control by domain control

Grain-oriented FeSi transformer material

Without artificial domain refinement

After laser scribing





Magneto-acoustic Article Surveillance











Magneto-acoustic Article Surveillance



United States Patent [19]

Herzer

[54] METHOD OF ANNEALING AMORPHOUS RIBBONS AND MARKER FOR ELECTRONIC ARTICLE SURVEILLANCE

- [75] Inventor: Giselher Herzer, Bruchkoebel, Germany
- [73] Assignee: Vacuumschmelze GmbH, Hanau, Germany

Domain Shift Register Devices

Bubble Memory





http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/ How_bubble_memory_works

Race Track Memory





Kerr-movie of Co/Ni PMA multilayer courtesy

S. Parkin, IBM

Examples for magnetic domain patterns



Origin of Magnetic Domains and Domain Classification

Origin of magnetic domains

Station and

Origin of magnetic domains Landau and Lifshitz (1935): Domains are formed to minimize total energy






States and

Bloch wall













Magnetostrictive self energy





Magnetostriction and domains

Amorphous ribbon with zero magnetostriction Ribbon axis = Field axis 100 m Image: Second second







Magnetostriction and domains

Amorphous ribbon with zero magnetostriction Amorphous ribbon with zero magnetostriction Image: Construction of the series of the









Magnetostrictive self energy



















Can create dominating anisotropy when magnetocrystalline anisotropy is lacking (stress-induced anisotropy)





















Magnetic Energies



Contraction of the

Magnetic Energies



A Second



Transformer sheet

0.1 mm

idealy oriented

Fine, superimposed domains: Supplementary Domains

30 mm

misorientation

[001] _ easy axes

10

misoriented

misoriented

(1/10)

Goss texture
























Tension and compression in rhythm of magnetic field

The sheet vibrates (= transformer noise)



N



Without tensile stress







Tensile stress



A Second



A Second





and the second



A STREET





Magnetic Energies



Contraction of the

Magnetic Energies



Contraction of the

Acquerte Energies

Magnetostrictive

$\frac{\operatorname{Survey}}{\operatorname{div}} \frac{\operatorname{Field}}{H_d} = -\operatorname{div} M$

Exchange energy

ex=0

11111

 $e_{\rm K} \neq 0$

Easy

General Classification of Domains

External field energy

H = 0

Anisotropy constant KQ Stray-field energy coefficient ($K_d = \mu_0 M_S^2/2$) 0 >> 1Q << 1Stray-field energy Anisotropy energy dominates dominates avoid avoid stray-field energy anisotropy energy Pole-free S N S N Anisotropy axis (uniaxial)



Q

Stray-field energy coefficient ($K_d = \mu_0 M_S^2/2$)









B. Marine

K: anisotropy constant A: exchange constant



 $\pi \sqrt{A/K}$

K: anisotropy constant A: exchange constant

Q = 0: Domain walls not defined anymore We do <u>not</u> expect homogeneously magnetized domains with well defined walls, but continuous patterns

Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics via Direct Statistical Simulation. S.M. Tobias et al. Astrophys.J. 727 (2011) 127

Q = 0: Domain walls not defined anymore ↓ We do <u>not</u> expect homogeneously magnetized domains with well defined walls, but continuous patterns

Magnetostriction-free metallic glass after annealing in rotating field



Permalloy sheet, 50 μ m thick



Fluctuations in local anisotropy — Domains walls <u>and</u> continuous patterns

Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)

Dominating stray-field energy (Q < < 1)

Bubble garnet film (5 μ m thick) 20 µm strong uniaxial anisotropy

Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)





Dominating anisotropy energy (Q >> 1)

Bubble garnet film (5 µm thick)

strong uniaxial anisotropy

20 µm

Dominating stray-field energy (Q < < 1)

Amorphous FeSiBCuNb film (2 µm thick)



Surface Cross section

Dominating anisotropy energy (Q >>1)

Bubble garnet film (5 μ m thick)



anisotropy

Dominating stray-field energy (Q < < 1)

Amorphous FeSiB ribbon (20 µm thick)







easy

axis

Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)



~ 40 µm



easy

axis

Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)



~ 120 µm



easy

axis

Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)



~ 600 µm









Dominating stray-field energy (Q < < 1)

Amorphous FeSiB ribbon (20 µm thick)



Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)

20 µm

Dominating stray-field energy (Q < < 1)

Amorphous FeSiB ribbon







Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)

20 µm

Dominating stray-field energy (Q < < 1)

Amorphous FeSiB ribbon





Dominating anisotropy energy (Q>>1)

20 µm

Amorphous FeSiB ribbon


Q >> 1











Q >> 1





 $Q \ll 1$













Q << 1Stray field energy avoided, Domains adapt to keep anisotropy energy low 5 μm Amorphous ribbon, 20 μm





Q << 1 Stray field energy avoided, Domains adapt to keep anisotropy energy low





Q << 1 Stray field energy avoided, Dor s adapt to keep a v energy low

1/11

Q >> 1





 $Q \ll 1$















Bulk garnet crystal

Bubble domains



GdYbBi garnet, 500 µm thick Image: A. Stupaviewicz, M. Tekielak, A. Maziewski (Bialystok) Sample: T. Satoh (Tokyo)









Domain analysis based on magneto-optical microscopy

Transparent films: Domain analysis easily possible



Bubble garnet film



Magnetic history

Magnetic field history matters

A State State

Bubble garnet film



Domain analysis often easily possible ...



Domain analysis often easily possible ...

Permalloy film, 240 nm thick

10 µm



Epitaxial (100)-iron film, 40 nm thick



... sometimes combination with some micromagnetic theory may be required

Amorphous FeSiBCuNb film, 2 µm thick

Stripe domains





... sometimes combination with some micromagnetic theory may be required



Multilayer films: Domain analysis more challenging

Domain analysis in multilayers

CoPt multilayer (7 nm thick), courtesy Tom Moore and Alex Bellew, Leeds



Domain analysis in multilayers Felgelles inlesses

Colsileac

50 µm

12/19/09 10:27:51 | FIELD: -U, ODAT | AVG: ON, 64X | BitS:4,9



Fe/Cr/Fe-whisker

Domain analysis in multilayers

Co/Si/GdCo trilayer



Sample: A. Svalov and G. Kurlyandskaya, Ekaterinburg Imaging: together with L. Lokamani, Dresden (unpublished)











Layer-selective Kerr microscopy







Mixed Kerr signal

GdCo layer




Mixed Kerr signal

GdCo layer

Co layer

50 µm

Advantage of layer-selective Kerr microscopy:

different composition of layers not required

X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism

Absorption of circularly polarized x-rays depends on orientation of M-direction with respect to helicity of the X-rays, change of sign by reversing M

Initial states are well defined inner-core levels \rightarrow XMCD is element selective





R.S., R Urban, D. Ullmann, H. L. Meyerheim, B. Heinrich, L. Schultz, J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144405 (2002)



L. Schultz, J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144405 (2002)





R.S., R Urban, D. Ullmann, H. L. Meyerheim, B. Heinrich, L. Schultz, J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144405 (2002)

Bulk materials: Domain analysis can be more or less difficult



(Fe-3%Si, non-oriented electrical steel 0.5 mm thick)



Surface orientation determines domain character









0.5 mm





Misoriented (110) surface

Ν



Ν

20

S

0.5 mm



0.5 mm





For well-oriented and slightly misoriented grains domains can be interpreted:

basic domains and supplementary pattern



For well-oriented and slightly misoriented grains domains can be interpreted:

basic domains and supplementary pattern



Extreme misorientation: Domain branching



Problem: only surface domains can be seen



Problem: only surface domains can be seen

Iron: Q = 0.02

[010]

Echelon pattern

(100)-cut

Bulk Domains 10 µm Analysis

A Sectores

Neutron dark-field tomography







I. Manke, et al.: Three-dimensional imaging of magnetic domains. Nature Communications, 1:125 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1125 (2010)

Volume domain observation in FeSi (111) sheet

(111)surface

Libovický method

easy axes

100 µm

`(100)sectional view





H. Warlimont: Elektronenmikroskopische Untersuchung der a-Fe-Si-Phasen. Z. Metallkunde 59, 598 (1968)









Orientation of magnetization vector (at annealing temperature) generates anisotropic distribution of DO₃ platelets



- \rightarrow Creation of precipitation pattern by annealing >540°C (<T_c)
- -> Cooling to room temperature
- Domain pattern is "frozen" as submicroscopic precipitation pattern
- Domain imaging in polarization microscope after etching due to optical birefringence effect (at room temperature)

"Metallographic" domain analysis

Branched domains on Fe12.8%Si (111) surface (thickness 0.5 mm)

Branched domains on Fe12.8%Si (111) surface

(thickness 0.5 mm)



Bulk domain observation by Libovický-method Branched domains on Fe12.8%5i (111) surface



easy axes

100 µm

(100)-sectional view (after annealing)



0.5 mm



Conclusion:

Strong misorientation: Complex domains restricted to surface zone, in volume wide basic domains can be expected Weak misorientation: wide basic domains with superimposed supplementary domains

We expect wide volume domains in any case





(111)-surface

10 μm





Indication of wide volume domains by surface observation

0.5 mm



Indication of wide volume domains by surface observation


Indication of wide volume domains by surface observation



Indication of wide volume domains by surface observation

A. Hubert: Quasi-domain model for domains and flux transport in interior

0.5 mm

Quasi-Domains





Station and

Quasi-Domains









It depends on flux misfit D whether quasi domains can proceed to neighboring grain

Quasi-domains and Grain Boundaries

Demagnetized

50 Hz ac field



Together with A. Brunner, IFW Dresden unbublished

Folded bands and Quasi-domains [A. Hubert]

In bulk of non-oriented material: Folded bands of "quasidomains" that are able to carry the flux along the working direction









Folded bands and Quasi-domains [A. Hubert]

In bulk of non-oriented material: Folded bands of "quasidomains" that are able to carry the flux along the working direction









Indication of folded bands by surface observation

Non-oriented electrical steel (0.5 mm thick)





Difference between left image and image with field applied

Motor sheet



Motor sheet



Microstructure and Domains

What happens to domains, when grain size gets smaller ?

What happens to domains, when grain size gets smaller ? Grain size:

100 µm range



What happens to domains, when grain size gets smaller ?

Grain size: 100 µm range

100 µm

Grain size: 100 nm range

FeSiBCuNb overannealed

100 µm

What happens to domains, when grain size gets smaller ?

Grain size: 100 µm range

HEEKE

100 µm

Grain size: 100 nm range

FeSiBCuNb overannealed

Grain size: 10 nm range





What happens to domains, when grain size gets smaller ?

Grain size: 100 µm range

HEEKE

100 µm

Grain size: 100 nm range

FeSiBCuNb overannealed

Grain size: 10 nm range

FeSiBCuNb Finemet material

Sputtered Co film

200 µm



A/K $\pi \sqrt{}$

R. Marine

K: anisotropy constant A: exchange constant





K: anisotropy constant A: exchange constant

ferromagnetic correlation length (exchange length): minimum scale for appreciable variation of magnetization (parallel moments for $L < L_{ex}$)

Random anisotropy model [Herzer 1989]: Exchange interaction averages over anisotropy of grains

 $L_{ex} = \sqrt{A/K_{cryst}}$





Nanocrystalline (Q<<1) materials Random anisotropy model [Herzer 1989]: Exchange interaction averages over anisotropy of grains $L_{ex} = \sqrt{A/K_{cryst}}$ Nanocrystals: D < Lex Lex correlatio volume



Nanocrystalline (Q<<1) materials Random anisotropy model [Herzer 1989]: Exchange interaction averages over anisotropy of grains $L_{ex} = \sqrt{A/K_{cryst}}$ Nanocrystals: D < L_{ex} $\rightarrow \langle K \rangle \leftrightarrow K_{cryst}$ Lex correlatio volume

Low resolution

Nanocrystalline FeSiBCuNb ribbon (20 µm thick)



Sputtered Co film (60 nm thick)



Low resolution

High resolution

Nanocrystalline FeSiBCuNb ribbon (20 µm thick)





Sputtered Co film (60 nm thick)





Random anisotropy model [Herzer 1989]: Exchange interaction averages over anisotropy of grains

 $L_{ex} = \sqrt{A/K_{cryst}}$ Nanocrystals: D < L_{ex} $\rightarrow \langle K \rangle < \langle K_{cryst}$







interface pole density = $\sin \vartheta_1 - \sin \vartheta_2$

Ripple phenomenon in magnetic film



interface pole density = $\cos \vartheta_1 - \cos \vartheta_2$



interface pole density = $\sin \vartheta_1 - \sin \vartheta_2$

longitudinal variation prefered



interface pole density = $\cos \vartheta_1 - \cos \vartheta_2$

ripple in films

M



patches in thick materials

Cancellation of transverse component

Thinning of nanocrystalline ribbon

20 µm thick

Patches

thinned to µm



still thinner



Ripple

Summary

- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas



Summary

- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas





Low-Q material

Summary

- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas


- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple

Domains must not be homogeneously

- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas

- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas



Patches in bulk nanocrystalline material



Ripple in nanocrystalline films

- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas



Shape-induced in Q << 1 film elements Q = 0 bulk material



- Domains are formed to diminish stray field energy
- Classification according to Q-factor
- Domains can be simple and complex, depending on many circumstances, especially surface orientation
- Bulk domains are usually wide and simple
- Domains must not be homogeneously magnetized areas



Branched closure domains in low-Q bulk material



Branched closure domains in medium-Q bulk material









Branched closure domains in low-Q bulk material



walls

amorphous ribbon

Swirls

10 µm

Branched closure domains in medium-Q bulk material

Acknowledgement

Sector State



Acknowledgement



Acknowledgement





4





How can (unknown) magnetic microstructure be analysed ?

1) Experimental imaging

Amorphous ribbon (Co-rich, 45 µm thick)



(image: courtesy G. Herzer, VAC)

1) Experimental imaging Amorphous ribbon (Co-rich, 45 µm thick)



(image: courtesy G. Herzer, VAC)



 $\gamma_{180^\circ} = 4\sqrt{AK}$

Domain walls

2) Domain model

0 << 1

1) Experimental imaging Amorphous ribbon (Co-rich, 45 µm thick)



(image: courtesy G. Herzer, VAC)

3) Optimization of model for minimum energy

 $\gamma_{180^\circ} = 4\sqrt{AK}$

Domain walls

2) Domain model

0 << 1

1) Experimental imaging Amorphous ribbon (Co-rich, 45 µm thick)



(image: courtesy G. Herzer, VAC)

4) Comparison with observation

3) Optimization of model for minimum energy