

[FOCI 2007 Tutorial]

Computational Intelligence in Everyday Life

~ Probabilistic Human Modeling and Behavior Sensing ~



Y. Motomura
Y. Nishida

**Digital Human
Research Center,
AIST, JAPAN**

Project page:
<http://www.openlife.jp/>

April 2007

Messages

■ Sensing and modeling everyday life:

as a grand challenge in Computational Intelligence

- Now, computers should work for supporting **human's whole everyday life** not only for office work,
- Everyday life information has much higher degree of freedom than specific task in office work.
- Huge, hetero, multi-modal, multi-dimensional information is related to everyday life.

■ Key points:


- **Sensing** comprehensive data related everyday life
- **Modeling** principal structure from such data
- **Application** focused on as an essential problem
(Application driven basic researches are necessary to select sensing data and structure of the model.)

Contents

- Introduce our research in everyday life computing
- Application: Childhood Injury prevention and surveillance
- Behavior Sensing: Ubiquitous, Wearable and Internet sensors
- Probabilistic Human Modeling: Bayesian networks

We have little understanding everyday life





Development of computational theory of human

Three perspectives

1. New observing device
2. New representing device
3. Meso-scopic phenomena

In case of brain science

1. fMRI
2. Computer
3. Neuron (micro) ⇔ Brain functions(macro)

Everyday behavior science

1. Ubiquitous sensor
Wearable
Internet sensor
2. Game, CG, Robot
3. Everyday behavior
— Behavior(micro)
⇔ Injury(macro)



Application:

**Childhood
Injury Prevention
and surveillance**

Trend of cause of child death

The leading cause is injury

-900 children died par year in Japan


-Social cost is estimated as \$ 5 billion par year in Japan

Age	First(%)	Second (%)	Third (%)	Forth(%)	Fifth (%)
0	Birth defect (36.3)	Respiratory disturbance (16.1)	Sudden death syndrome (8.1)	Accident (5.9)	Fetal hemorrhagic disorder (4.1)
1-4	Accident (24.8)	Birth defect (17.6)	Malformation neoplasma (7.5)	heart disease (6.0)	Pneumonia (5.0)
4-9	Accident (35.0)	Malformation neoplasma (17.2)	Birth defect (7.9)	Heart disease (5.5)	Murder (3.8)
10-14	Accident (22.0)	Malformation neoplasma (21.2)	Heart disease (9.5)	Suicide (9.2)	Birth defect (6.5)

in Japan



Research approach



Generative Model of everyday life behavior by sensing technology + modeling technology

Examples of service

**Web Service
for foreseeing
injury**



**Realtime monitoring
for injury prevention**





**Examples of application of
behavior understanding**

Ubiquitous, wearable and Internet sensors

Ubiquitous Sensor 1/4 for observing everyday life


- Home-shaped system
 - Living/Kitchen, Bathroom, Toilet, Bedroom
- Embedded sensor
 - 1000 Ultrasonic 3D location sensor
 - Camera, Microphone
- Wearable sensor
 - Wearable EMG
 - Wearable acceleration



Location Sensor



Living/Kitchen




EMG




Acceleration

Ubiquitous Sensor 2/4 for observing everyday life

- Child's everyday behavior data in a laboratory
- Extract behavior characteristics



Behavior data from 100 children




Cognitive/Behavior/Object label

Ubiquitous Sensor 3/4


Example of behavior characteristics :

- Relationship between infant's interest and distance to objects




Ubiquitous Sensor 4/4

Visualization of interest induction



Wearable Sensor 1/2 for everyday life sensing



- From lab. to **real home environment**
- Systematic methodology of child measurement





For example,

- How many times does child grasp?
- How many times does child fall?
- When does child perform a certain behavior?


Wearable Sensor 2/2




A system can estimate the times of grasp within an error of 25%.




EMG Map = EMG + Location



+




- Record EMG with location
- Useful for understanding when/where/how EMG occurs



Science of “Playing” Child with EMG map

“Stone wall” type of
play equipment




Ultrasonic 3D Tag
(location sensor)

Wearable EMG
(physiological data)

Experimental results of EMG map


We can understand where is dangerous parts for child.



Red area indicates that large muscle power is used

New design for children based on EMG map

New stone wall
play equipment



Kuwanomi kindergarten Feb. 2007

- from lab/home to **Society**
- **Actual interaction** between child and objects in a everyday lives

- Small size
 - Rokuen Children's Clinic
- Middle size
 - National Children's Medical Center



Data, Knowledge, Action, and Service

Advanced Injury Surveillance System and Database



Accident Surveillance

Surveillance Room* memo Analysis

date 2006 year month 26 day ID name

gender boy age six-month developmental stage not sitting/crawling

input

accident type falling out addition

injury time correct time addition

participating objects farthings addition

the objects often-used

injury type facial contusion

injury parts of the body head temporal addition

location house bed room addition

third person not relate to age difference not addition

third person's behavior not relate addition

behavior prior to the accid rolling over the behavior on purpose addition


Digital Human Research Center entry fresh start

OK




Human GIS

Collect injury data
At hospitals



Age, Sex, Date, Place, Causative Object,
Behavior, Injury, Accident, Hospital Visit for
Complete Cure

Human-GIS enables to describe and visualize size, area, and position of injury.



Medical Cost

Expectation of medical cost
when injury due to object occurs

\$


Chair	774
Electric Pot	694
Stove	676
Miso Soup	661
Coffee	480
Razor	431
Rice Cooker	421
Firework	395
Bed	382
Fan Heater	338
Pillar (柱)	331

Monkey bar	326
Iron	314
Sliding way	197
Sofa	181
Toy	158
Bicycle	151
Toy	136
Window	136
Cigarette	127
Baby car	79
Human	78

■ Calculated from MHLW DB and AIST Injury DB


Everyday Cognitive Science

Object classification from child's point of view



Define injury frequency as feature vector of each object

Cluster analysis





Object cluster changes by child's age

Imply that the way of seeing/using object changes



DHRC Object database

(Objects existing in one home)




1,116 kinds, 4,289 objects
(Sampled from home of "family of two")

Probability Distribution of Everyday Object



The number
of objects




Density

Probabilistic Human modeling to make re-usable computational knowledge

- Problem:
 - Data, Statistics can not explain what we should do next.
- Solution: Causality, Graphical modeling and Computer simulation (re-usability and computation)
- Method: modeling and applying Bayesian networks

Bayesian networks

- Node: ($X:\{\text{true},\text{false}\}/\{\text{Mon},\text{Tue},\dots\}$), discrete random variables
Observed or unobservable (predicted variable)
- Directed arc: conditional dependency
- Conditional probability: defined by Tables
(Conditional Probability Table:CPT)



CPT: $P(X4/X2)$

	$X2$	0	1
$X4$			
0	0.8	0.4	
1	0.2	0.6	


$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(X1, X2, X3, X4, X5) \\
 &= P(X5|X3, X4)P(X4|X2)P(X3|X1)P(X2)P(X1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Decomposed to make the model tractable


Why Bayesian network?

- Statistical learning (flexible modeling)
- Probabilistic reasoning (simulation available)
- Sophisticated algorithms and softwares
- Easy to understand semantics of models



More flexible representation power than other models




Linear : regression model
Non linear : neural network




Gaussian model (normality)



Graph structure learning from data



χ^2 testing



Bayesian network


Model selection using
Information criteria (AIC, BIC=MDL)

Repeat model selection to all child nodes in the graph

Graph structure learning software

BayoNet (BN software) developed by AIST


(1996-2007) <http://staff.aist.go.jp/y.motomura/bayonet/>



Fast learning from huge SQL database and reasoning


Cognitive modeling using Bayesian networks

”Y.Motomura, T.Kanade :Probabilistic Human Modeling based on Personal Construct Theory”, Journal of Robot&Mechatronics, 17/6, (2005).



Belief propagation 1/2 (Probabilistic reasoning)

Basic fomula
 $Belief(X2)$
 $= P(X2/e+, e-)$
 $= P(X2/X1) P(X1/e+) \cdot P(X2/X3) P(X3/e-)$



CPT: $P(X2/X1)$

$X1$	0	1
$X2$		
0	0.8	0.4
1	0.2	0.6

Belief propagation 2/2


$$\Pr(X = x) = \alpha \lambda(x) \pi(x).$$

$$\pi(x) = \sum_u P(X | U = u) \prod_{U_i} \pi_{U_i X}(U_i),$$

$$\lambda(x) = \prod_{Y_j} \lambda_{Y_j X}(x),$$

$$\pi_{XY_j}(x) = \pi(x) \prod_{k \neq j} \lambda_{Y_k X}(x),$$

$$\lambda_{XU_i}(u) = \sum_x \lambda(x) \sum_{k \neq i} P(x | U) \prod_{k \neq i} \pi_{U_k X}(u_k).$$



Loopy BP

- Apply belief propagation to multiply connected Bayesian networks.
- Not guarantee convergence and precision.
- But it can give adequate results in many cases (experimentally).
- Fast and less memory space (good properties for embedded IT systems like mobile phone and car-navigation systems)

Computational speed of Loopy BP

- CPU: Pentium III 975 MHz、Memory: 512 MB 、OS: Windows2000, language: C++

No. of nodes	Loopy BP	Junction tree	Systematic sampling
20	119 ms	112 ms	445 ms
50	314 ms	997 ms	1845 ms
100	2283 ms	10820 ms	4197 ms
300	4765 ms	impossible	20367 ms

Fast enough for real world applications

Probabilistic user modeling using Bayesian networks

- User modeling (office assistant in PC)
- Human modeling and analysis
(Customer/Market-analysis, Computer Graphic etc.)


Applications:

Personalized and situated information recommendation
in car-navigation systems or cell phones, etc.

Microsoft: Office Assistant: Horvitz, E.

"Lumiere Project: Bayesian Reasoning for Automated assistance",


(1998). <http://research.microsoft.com/research/dtg/horvitz/lum.htm>



$P(\text{assist} \mid \text{difficulty, knowledge, recent menu, pause})$


Car-navigation: personalized, situated restaurant-navigation

Y.Motomura and T.Iwasaki (2006)




Human/User Models in personalized, situated restaurant-navigation

$$P(\text{restaurant} | \text{driver}, \text{situation})$$




Car-navigation: Comparison Bayesian network vs conventional (conv.)

Prediction rate: BN1(EBA) 32.8%, BN2 29.3%, conv. 26.4%



Personalized and situated service Using cell phone with human/use models AIST & KDDI (2005-2007)



Shopping navigation




User is navigated by the system



Additional information will be given by QR code in shops




Various recommendation services



User selects a item, then information appear



Interaction – User Modeling - Service Cycle using Bayesian networks



Applying to Childhood Injury simulation




Simulate and evaluate how much dangerous in this situation.
How about for 1 year old boy? If he grows up?
How about when his mother behaves some actions?
What's happen if new stove will be set?

We should understand what were causes and relational factors (for simulation and evaluation).

Injury surveillance and prevention project

- Accumulate sensing data of children's behavior in house
- Add labeled data by hand to sensing data
- Discretize(clustering) sensor data
- Learning Bayesian networks (Find causal structure)
- Use probabilistic reasoning in computer simulation
- Realize most possible behavior and situations.
- Evaluate risks and show movies for safety promotions


Constructed relational model from child injury record in a hospital in Japan (200 samples)




Simulate:
girl, 1 year,
winter,
By electrical
product

Reasoning:
(highest):
Burn 94%
WO intention
67%
Arm 90%
Living 62%
Day 67%


Constructed relational model from child injury record in hospitals in Japan (2788 samples:2007)



Uncertainty in Relational model between accidents and children's behavior



Probabilistic behavior model according to children's development



Behavior	age	7	8.4	9.7	11.1
Standing		0.25	0.5	0.75	0.9
Not yet		0.75	0.5	0.25	0.1

- From statistical research:
DENVER(USA), DENVER-II(Japan)
- $P(\text{Standing}=\text{yes}|\text{Age}=11.1)= 90\%$
- $P(\text{Standing}=\text{yes}|\text{Age}=7)= 25\%$

Modeling more precise children's behavior

- Accumulate sensing data of children's behavior in house
- Add labeled data by hand to sensing data
- Discretize (clustering) sensor data
- Learning Bayesian networks (Find major causal structure)
- Use probabilistic reasoning in computer simulation
- Realize most possible behavior and situations
- Evaluate risks and show movies for safety promotions



Child Behavior Simulator

Reusable and Comprehensive Model of Infant Behavior

Micro-analysis of
child behavior
(Denver II)
(ubiquitous sensor)

Macro-analysis of
child injury
(Internet sensor)

Integration



$$P(\text{Behavior}_t, \text{Variable}_{\text{Env}}, \text{Variable}_{\text{Dev}})$$

$$\text{Variable}_{\text{Env}} = \{\text{Distance}, \text{InterestObj}\}$$

$$\text{Variable}_{\text{Dev}} = \{\text{Age}, \text{Behavior}_{t-1}\}$$

$$P(\text{Behavior}_t, \text{Distance}, \text{InterestObj}, \text{Age}, \text{Behavior}_{t-1}) =$$

$$P(\text{Behavior}_t | \text{InterestObj}) \times$$

$$P(\text{InterestObj} | \text{Distance}) \times$$

$$P(\text{Behavior}_t | \text{Age}) P(\text{Behavior}_t | \text{Behavior}_{t-1}) \times$$

$$P(\text{Distance}) P(\text{Age}) P(\text{Behavior}_{t-1}) P(\text{InterestObj})$$

- Data to Model
- Explanation to Generation
(Generative Model)



Sensing, Modeling, Application, and then
Start the next cycle (knowledge circulation)

Socialized Sensing Technology

Distribute infant injury movies to parents for safety promotion



- Cooperation with Benesse Corp. which has 1.4 million club member


Service started in December 12, 2005

<https://www.shimajiro.co.jp/ikuji/kenen/login.php>



Socialized Sensing Technology

Sustainable Development of sensing and modeling



$Sim(User_a, User_i)$

$$= \frac{\sum_{f_k \in F_a \cap F_i} (q_{a,k} - \bar{q}_a)(q_{i,k} - \bar{q}_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{f_k \in F_a \cap F_i} (q_{a,k} - \bar{q}_a)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{f_k \in F_a \cap F_i} (q_{i,k} - \bar{q}_i)^2}}$$

Mutual evolution of Service & Sensor

- Sensing by providing service
- Improving service by sensing

Injury Precognition Support Service 1/4

Input child's age and developmental stage of behavior

子どもの事故予防を考えるWEBサービス / こどもちゃんじ - Microsoft Internet Explorer

ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表示(V) お気に入り(A) ツール(T) ヘルプ(H)

子どもの事故予防を考えるWEBサービス

子どもの危険行動シミュレーション

発達段階にあわせて、お父さまがどんな行動をとり、どんな危険があるのかを動画でシミュレーション(疑似体験)できます。

※ 部屋別にござんいただけます。

● 子どもの年齢・月齢 選んでください

● 成長段階 選んでください

共同開発: **産業技術総合研究所**
産業技術の様々な技術開発を総合的に行う、独立行政法人の日本最大級の研究組織。

産業技術総合研究所はモニターを募集しています
[詳しくはこちら](#)

煙村 洋太郎先生の「子どものための危険学」

「失敗学」でおなじみの煙村洋太郎先生が、子どもの事故を防ぐためにどのようなことに注意しておくといのか、絵を通してわかりやすく解説します。

煙村 洋太郎先生
工学院大学国際基礎工学科教授、東京大学名誉教授、
煙村創造工学研究所を主宰、02年特定非営利法人「失敗学」を立ち上げ、初代会長に就任。主な著書にベストセラーになった、『失敗学のすすめ』『子どものための失敗学』(講談社)などがある。

山中龍宏先生の もしもの時の対処法

『子どもの危険行動シミュレーション』予防アドバイス監修、日本小児学会小児事故対策委員の山中先生より、万が一起こってしまった事故に対する対処法をご紹介します。
(協力: [子どもの事故予防センター](#))

子どもの危険回避研究所からのアドバイス

子どもを取り巻く危険や環境に関する研究に携わる「子どもの危険回避研究所」所長の横矢真理さん、副所長の西江さんより、危険回避のアドバイスをご紹介します。
(協力: [子どもの危険回避研究所](#))

インターネット

(Benesse Corp.)

Injury Precognition Support Service 2/4

子どもの事故予防を考えるWEBサービス: 子どもの危険行動シミュレーション / こどもちゃんじ - Microsoft Internet Ex...

ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表示(V) お気に入り(A) ツール(T) ヘルプ(H)

戻る 検索

アドレス(D) <http://preview.heviz.jp/oyako/emergency0510/ht...>

子どもの危険行動シミュレーション

0歳11カ月:つかまり立ち・つたい歩き 危険ポイント・事故予防アドバイス監修/山中龍広先生

ご利用の回線に合わせてムービーサイズを選んでください。 **56K** アナログ回線、ISDNなど(160×120pixel) **300K** DSL、CATVなど(320×240pixel)

リビング	椅子の危険	56K	300K	テーブルの危険	56K	300K	TV台の危険	56K	300K
	ソファの危険	56K	300K	床の危険(1)	56K	300K	床の危険(1)	56K	300K
	(夏)冷房器具の危険	56K	300K	(冬)暖房器具の危険(1)	56K	300K	(冬)暖房器具の危険(2)	56K	300K
台所	テーブル上の危険	56K	300K	トースターの危険	56K	300K	テーブルクロスの危険	56K	300K
	魚焼きグリルの危険	56K	300K	包丁の危険	56K	300K	食器棚の危険(1)	56K	300K
	食器棚の危険(2)	56K	300K	テーブル下の危険	56K	300K			
お風呂	浴槽の危険(1)	56K	300K	洗面器の危険	56K	300K	床の危険	56K	300K
	浴槽の危険(2)	56K	300K						
洗面所	洗濯機の危険	56K	300K	洗面台の危険	56K	300K	踏み台の危険	56K	300K
トイレ	ドアの危険	56K	300K	洋式トイレの危険(1)	56K	300K	洋式トイレの危険(2)	56K	300K
	洋式トイレの危険(3)								
ベランダ	柵の危険	56K	300K	網戸の危険	56K	300K	鍵の危険	56K	300K
	その他の危険	56K	300K						
玄関・階段	階段の危険	56K	300K						

インターネット

Present lists of injury in near future
User selects.

Injury Precognition Support Service 3/4


Present movie on injury which might happen in near future

Questionnaire

http://preview.heviz.jp - 子どもの事故予防を考えるWEB

子どもの危険行動シミュレーション

0歳11カ月:つかまり立ち・つかまり歩き
(冬)暖房器具の危険(2)



この動画で起こった事故についてこれまで、見たり聞いたりしたことはありましたか？また、この事故は、今後、あなたのご家庭で起こる可能性が高いと考えられますか？

- 1 見たり、聞いたりしたことがあります、今後起こる可能性も高いと思う。
- 2 見たり、聞いたりしたことはあるが、今後起こる可能性は低いと思う。
- 3 今まで、見たり、聞いたりしたことはなかったが、今後、起こる可能性は高いと思う。
- 4 今まで、見たり、聞いたりしたこともないし、今後も起こる可能性は低いと思う。


今回提供するサービスは、研究データをもとに想定されることを例としてまとめているものであり、実際の生活のなかで、まったく同様の結果だけが起こるわけではありません。紹介しているもの以外の危険も十分に考えられます。以上のことをあらかじめ想定していた上でのサービスをご利用ください。掲載情報を通して、ご家庭での事故予防につなげていただければ幸いです。

個人情報保護への取り組みについて | Benesse教育情報サイト ©Benesse Corporation 2005. All rights reserved.

インターネット

(Benesse Corp.)

Injury Precognition Support Service 4/4




Advice on preventive measure

(Benesse Corp.)

Epidemiology by Internet

4,933 parents accessed, 61,147 movies were distributed
21,482 movies were evaluated




Child's age of parents who utilized Web service

Epidemiology by Internet

4,933 parents accessed, 61,147 movies were distributed


21,482 movies were evaluated



29% of injuries were unfamiliar to parents.


At least 21% of movies change parents' awareness.

Knowledge Obtained by Internet sensing data



- Relation between age and behavior was obtained.
- It takes only 102 days to exceed $N=1,819$ ($4,471 > 1,815$ (DenverII))
- **World's Biggest database**
- Internet is a strong tool for research.


Modeling parent's awareness of child injury constructed from Internet sensing data



Everyday life computing research as a challenge of project driving scheme

Research Scrum for Injury prevention

Tokyo Univ. of Science,
Kanazawa Univ., Tohoku Univ.
Tokyo Engineering University, Keio Univ.
Colorado State Univ.



Sharing sensing data, tools, web service

<http://www.openlife.jp>

- Object data in houses
- Life log data in our sensor-house
- Bayesian network (web service)
- probabilistic reasoning and image processing softwares
- Community place (Mach making for seeds and needs)



Science & Technology of everyday life

Social problem

Low fertility

Aging

Globalization

Working women

Nuclear family

New crime

Conventional knowledge
(Excluded from society)

New research field

Knowledge of everyday life

Science & Technology of everyday life

Ubiquitous/ Wearable Sensing

Technology

Internet

Data mining/ Database

Sensor, Network, Wireless

Human Computation

Human Modeling

Physiological need

Safety need

Belonging need

Self-realization

Contentization

Need

Algorithm/Hardware

Computation

Service/Contents