## Issues and Approaches to Management of Sensor Networks

Kang G. Shin Real-Time Computing Laboratory Department of EECS University of Michigan

## **Outline of Talk**

- Generics, issues, and approaches of sensor networks
  - Hardware
  - Communication
  - Software
- Examples of research on sensor networks at:
  - University of Michigan
  - UC Berkeley
  - University of Virgina
  - UCLA

### **Characteristics of Sensor Networks**

- Large # of small, resource-limited sensor nodes, operating *in aggregate*
- Usually battery-powered, hence *energy-constrained*
- Wide range of sensing capabilities
  - Temperature, light, sound, magnetic fields, motion, vision
- Low-power wireless networking
- Unattended, inaccessible, prolonged deployment
- Requires in-network processing
- Time-varying functions/roles
- => Must be self-organized, self-maintaining and programmed *in situ* to operate at very low duty cycle

### **Uses of Sensor Networks**

#### Commercial

- Manufacturing plant monitoring, integrated robotics, vehicle/object tracking, security/safety monitoring, inventory control and manuals/instructions (RFIDs), etc.
- Research
  - Environmental monitoring (habitat and agricultural studies)
- Military
  - Tracking, intrusion detection
- Homeland security
  - Surveillance of public/critical infrastructures such as buildings, bridges, utility distribution and water supply systems

#### **Typical Sensor Node: X-Bow Mica Mote**



### **MICA Architecture**



2xAA form factor Cost-effective power source

#### **Sensor Board Device Placement**



1.25 in

#### **Mica Mote with Sensor Board**



#### **Research Areas/Issues**

- Sensing and architecture
  - Sensor hardware design (MEMS)
  - Signal/Data processing
  - Rich interfaces and simple primitives allowing cross-layer optimization
  - Low-power processor, ADC, radio, communication, encryption
- Resource management (operating system)
  - Limited computational power, memory, code space, electrical power
  - Node computation & communication, and their scheduling
- Networking and distributed services
  - Medium Access Control & routing
  - Clock synchronization, localization, and data aggregation
- Programming
  - Software component models and middleware
  - Describe global behavior, synthesize local rules that have correct, predictable global behavior
- Applications
  - Long-lived, self-maintaining, dense instrumentation of previously unobservable phenomena
  - interaction with a computational environment

## Networking

- Medium Access Control (MAC)
  - Main issues with wireless communication
    - Collisions
    - Limited range
      - Hidden node/terminal problem
    - Transmission errors
  - Motes use CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)
    - Cannot send and receive at the same time
    - Cannot detect collision
  - Work is being done to create inherently collision-free MAC protocols
    - TDMA in a region; may be closely-coupled with applications
  - ... or to reduce the probability of collision
    - Implicit acknowledgements
    - S-MAC coordinates sleep cycles to save energy and avoid collisions
  - Non-Mote systems (esp. simulations and more powerful sensors) use 802.11 MAC or its variations: Stargate and Stareast

#### **Super Node I: Stargate Board**



#### **Super node II: Stareast Boards**



# **Routing Protocols**

- Spanning tree within a cluster/region
- Geographic routing
  - Route messages to a specific location
  - Each node knows its location
  - No routing tables maintained

#### Cluster-based routing

- Use simple table-based routing protocols to route to cluster head (e.g., dynamic source routing, ad-hoc on demand distance vector routing)
- Use higher-level protocol (e.g., geographic) to route between cluster heads
- Landmark routing
  - Similar to cluster-based routing, but without the cluster formation overhead
  - Messages are routed to known landmarks, from which they are routed to their final destination

## **Routing Protocols, cont'd**

- Gradient Routing
  - Requires only local information at each node
  - An "interest" is propagated outward by a sink node
  - Each node receiving the interest remembers it and passes it along
  - Different topologies arise due to forwarding policies
  - Data from a source traces back links to the sink
  - Preferred data paths may be reinforced
    - Lowest energy
    - Shortest path
    - Least latency



Spanning Tree



Directed Acyclic Graph

## **Sensor Network Programming**

- Embedded systems
  - Lightweight OS, e.g., tinyOS, EMERALDS
  - OS and application software are compiled and linked together, then downloaded to the node
  - Programmed once and deployed
  - Some work is being done on network reprogramming
    - Expensive in terms of energy
    - Takes a node out of service while reprogramming
    - Scalability issues
- Software structured using component models
  - Support modularity
  - Only essential components are compiled into the system
  - Easy to upgrade/replace components during development

#### **Example University Research Efforts**

- University of Michigan
- UC Berkeley
- University of Virginia
- UCLA
- •

## **Efforts at UMICH**

- DARPA:
  - SMILE: Service Models for Integration of reaL-time Embedded systems
  - Security Tradeoffs (with UMass and ASU)
- ONR, NRL, NSF, Cisco:
  - LiSP (Lightweight Security Protocol), PIV, DKMP, SyKeeper
- NSF:
  - Lightweight and Flexible Sensor Network Management
- Project personnel: 1 faculty, 1 full-time research scientist, and 9 grad students
- Project URLs: http://kabru.eecs.umich.edu/{smile,security}

#### **Sensor Network Testbed**



### **Sample Projects at UM**

- Adaptive Query Processing (AQP)
- Content-aware metadata creation in a heterogeneous mobile environment
- Network routing
- Distributed location service
- Sensor network security
- Self-management

## **AQP Middleware**

- Provides an abstraction that forms the basis for service & application development on a platform
  =>Higher-level domain services are implemented as queries and query-triggered functions
- Is based on a data-centric view of networked embedded systems
- Provides basic data access and management
- Is based on a data model that includes type, time, location, and quality parameters

#### **Service Development on Motes**

- Sensor database (SensorDB)
- Energy-aware Query Processing
  - Declarative Query Interface to
    - provide transparent adaptation and optimization
  - Energy savings in
    - communication and query processing
- Techniques proposed to increase lifetime
  - Utility/cost in query allocation by each coordinator
  - Energy-efficient (i.e., computationally-efficient) query indexing at each node

## **Relational Model for WSNs**

- Tuples include sensor readings and associated sensor types, node ID, timestamp, energy balance, etc.
- Append-only and distributed across multiple nodes, thus supporting streamed, distributed data
- Query is *persistent* and *periodically* evaluated
- Queries themselves are treated as data upon which other queries may operate, i.e., recursive query.

#### **Hierarchical Architecture**



- Roles
  - Super coordinator
  - Coordinator
  - Member
- Cluster
  - Nodes in a small region
  - One-hop communication
  - Redundancy
    - Sensing
    - Communication

## **Filters and Aggregators**



#### **A Simple SQL-like Interface**



Queries that operate on queries

- Insert, Delete, Update, Select, and Estimate

#### **Energy-aware AQP**



- Distribute workload using utility/cost model
- Given a local cluster of *n* substitutable nodes, adaptively distribute workload to a subset of the nodes
- Utility: accuracy of the query result
  - More nodes give better estimate of sensor value
- Cost
  - Cost associated with selecting and aggregating data
  - Models: balanced, greedy, hybrid

## **Comparison of Cost Models**

Cost Model	Description
Balanced	Cost = 1/(Residual Energy) Balances nodes' energy consumption
Greedy	Cost = Additional Energy Consumption <u>Minimize</u> energy consumption by adding a new query
Hybrid	A combination of Greedy+Balanced <u>Greedy</u> to allocate incoming queries and <u>Balanced</u> to exchange existing query sets

#### **Network Lifetime**



#### **Per-node Residual Energy**



- Selects four nodes per query out of 15 possible
- Remaining energy is measured at the end of network lifetime
- Hybrid model achieves a longer lifetime by distributing power usage more evenly over available nodes

### **Online Query Optimization**

- Why?
  - Queries may be submitted at any time
  - Availability of sensor nodes may change
- Main focus of query optimization is to save energy
  - Maximize sharing of communication and sensing costs among queries

### **AQP Demonstration**

- Implemented support for the "Pursuer-Evader Game" scenario
  - Tracks an enemy evader through a field
  - Location estimate is used to pursue the evader
- Steps
  - Energy-aware Coordinator election
  - Energy-aware, geographically-distributed Sentry assignment
  - Detection and aggregation for estimation
    - Adaptive estimation
  - Re-election of Coordinators and Sentries

#### **Content-Aware Metadata Creation and Access**

- Wireless handheld devices and sensors are becoming everywhere!
- Amount of digital media data is rapidly increasing and becoming burdensome to manage
  =>Difficult to find, edit, share, and reuse media because computers don't understand media content
  - Media is opaque and data-rich and lacks structured representations

Designed a framework to:

- Collect environmental information from wirelessly-enabled devices
- Associate the collected information, or "metadata," with digital media files
- Metadata facilitates easy search, categorization, and organization of files.

# **Communication Model**



# **Heterogeneous Networks**

- Mobile users (iPAQs & Stargates)
  - User input simulates taking pictures
  - 802.11 WLAN communication
- Environmental sensors (motes & RFIDs)
  - Measure temperature, light, and location
  - RF communication
- Logical sensors (laptops quipped with motes/RFIDs)
  - Communicate with mobile users and environmental sensors
  - 802.11 WLAN communication
  - RF & Bluetooth communication

# **Metadata Association**

#### procedure Metadata\_Association

mark photo-shoot time; wait 1 association period after photo; determine relevant time interval; associate file name and timestamp; while ( Pop *the smallest offset* Data within relevant time interval ) if ( !duplicated (Data) && !filtered (Data) ) write Data to metadata;
## **Context-Aware Image Creation**



## **Database and GUI**

 Images and associated metadata are transferred to a desk/lap-top PC server

• XML parsed and loaded into the database

• GUI application allows for flexible search and edit

## **Prototyping and Experimentation**

#### Testbed

- -2 mobile nodes (iPAQs)
- 3 logical nodes
- -13 environmental sensors
- Users walk around, take pictures, and collect environmental data
  - 1-hour simulation
  - Two users at a time, total of 9 users
- Data collection
  - On-demand
  - Periodic

#### **Experimental Setup**



## **Distributed Location Service**



#### A Typical Scenario:

- Mobile nodes issue queries to the ``static'' sensor network
  - Query results are returned to the requester mobiles

When query results are generated:

- Mobile nodes which issued query may have moved away
- Need to route sensed data to a mobile sink!

#### **DLSP:** Distributed Location Service Protocol

- What does it do?
  - provides the updated location information of mobile sinks to static sensor nodes
- How?
  - Each mobile independently elects location servers
  - Location info of mobiles is sent to their location servers
  - Other nodes contact the location servers to obtain the location of mobile sinks

## **Grid Construction**



### **Location Server Election**



- Level-0 Servers
  - All the nodes within the same level-1 square
- Level-k Servers
  - One from each of neighboring level-k squares
  - Relative location: H(id,k)
- Denser near M and sparser away from M

- Mobile Node M
- $DLS_0(M)$   $DLS_1(M)$
- $DLS_2(M)$   $DLS_3(M)$

# **Location Query**



- Sink node issues a query if it needs the location of M
- Query is recursively passed to the higher- level (presumed) server

- Mobile Node M Source node
- $DLS_0(M)$   $DLS_1(M)$
- $DLS_2(M)$   $DLS_3(M)$

## **Overhead of DLSP**

- Location Query
  - d: distance between src and dst
  - # of msg/query: O(d)
  - delay/query: O(d)
- Location Information Maintenance
  - N: # of sensor nodes, M: # of mobile nodes, L: network size (distance)
  - Mem requirement per sensor node: O(M\*log (N)/N)
  - # of msg/mobile node/period: O(L\*log (N))

## **Comparison with Others**

- MIT's GLS
  - GLS: Every node is assumed mobile
  - DLSP: Only a small portion of nodes are mobile
     => more efficient
- Landmark routing
  - DLSP: No need to maintain landmark hierarchy (when nodes move, die, etc.)
- TTDD
  - No overhead for query forwarding, double agent, and local query re-flooding

### **Security in Networked Embedded Systems**



Sensor Network

- Self-organizing, self-healing
- Battery-powered
- Unattended, not rechargeable
- A large number of nodes





### **Threat Model**

#### OUTSIDER

#### **Data Attacks**

- Traffic capture/replay
- Spoofing if unencrypted
- Man-in-the-middle (limited)

#### **Radio Attacks**

- High-power jamming
- Radio source detection

#### **Physical Attacks**

- Reprogram as malicious
- Destroy device
- Extract key materials

#### **INSIDER**

#### **Data Attacks**

- Traffic injection/flooding
- Unlimited spoofing
- DoS, Man-in-the-middle

#### **Service Disruption on**

- Routing (altered/selective)
- Clock synchronization
- Localization

#### **Miscellaneous**

- Service/data to adversary
- Malicious service to net

## Why LiSP?

THREAT	DEFENSE	PROBLEM	SOLUTION
<ul> <li>Attack on Traffic</li> <li>Eavesdropping</li> <li>Traffic replay, modification, injection</li> <li>Service disruption, DoS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Key Sharing</li> <li>Globally</li> <li>Group-based</li> <li>Pairwise</li> </ul> Re-Keying <ul> <li>Periodically</li> <li>Event-triggered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vulnerable to sensor compromises</li> <li>Large re-keying overhead</li> <li>Transcoding per hop</li> </ul>	Group-based Key Management Two-Tier Nets Distributed Key Management P2P Nets
Attack on Program The adversary can • capture • reverse-engineer • re-program • clone sensor device(s)	<ul> <li>H/W Tamper- Resistance</li> <li>S/W</li> <li>Obfuscation</li> <li>Result Checking</li> <li>Self-Decryption</li> </ul>	Protection of program itself → <b>Defenseless</b> once broken	Soft Tamper-Proofing via <b>Program-Integrity</b> Verification

### **LiSP Architecture**



# Sensor Networks Research at UCB

### Miniaturization – Pister (SmartDust)



#### 54

## Low Power RF – Rabaey (PicoRadio)

- CMOS
  - Cheap, Integrated
- mW -> sub mW
- Simple

**RF** Filter

LNA

• Advantage in Numbers

Env

Det

Env

Det

**RF** Filter

**RF** Filter

f<sub>clock</sub>

RX On: 3 mW

Off: 0 mW



#### **BWRC**

### System/Networking/Programming – Culler



### **Structural Monitoring – Glaser, Fenves**

- Dense Instrumentation of Full Structure
  - Cost is all in the wires
- Leads to in situ monitoring





#### Protection – Sastry, Culler, Brewer, Wagner



Detect vehicle entering sensitive area, track using magnetics, pursue and capture by UGV. Components

- 10x10 array of robust wireless, self-localizing sensors over 400 m<sup>2</sup> area
- Low cost, robust 'mote' device
- Evader: human controlled Rover
- Pursuer: autonomous rover with mote, embedded PC, GPS
- Operation
  - Nodes inter-range (Ultrasonic) and self localize from few anchors, correct for earth mag, go into low-power 'sentry' state
  - Detect entry and track evader
    - Local mag signal processing determines event and announces to neighbors
    - Neighborhood aggregates and estimates position
    - Network routes estimate from leader to tracker (multihop)
    - Pursuer enters and navigates to intercede
      - Motes detect and estimate multiple events
      - Route to mobile Pursuer node
      - Disambiguates events to form map
      - Closed inner-loop navigation control
      - Closed information-driven pursuit control

#### **Sensor Net Databases – Hellerstein, Franklin**

- Relational databases: rich queries described by declarative queries over tables of data
  - select, join, count, sum, ...
  - user dictates what should be computed
  - query optimizer determines how
  - assumes data presented in complete, tabular form
- database operations over streams of data
  - incremental query processing
- process the query in the sensor net
  - query processing == contentbased routing?
  - energy savings, bandwidth,



#### SELECT AVG(light) GROUP BY roomNo



### **Security - Wagner**



## VigilNet University of Virginia

#### **Energy Efficient Surveillance Syst**

1. An unmanned plane (UAV) deploys motes

Sentry

3.Sensor network detects vehicles and wakes up the sensor nodes

2. Motes establish an sensor network with power management

**Diffusion Routing Neighbor Discovery** Time **Synchronization Parameterization Sentry Selection Coordinate Grid Data Aggregation Data Streaming Group Management** Leader Election Localization **Network Monitor Tripwire Service** Reconfiguration **Reliable MAC** Leader Migration Scheduling State **Synchronization** 

. . . . . .

#### Goals

- Develop an operational self-organizing sensor network of size 1000
- Cover an area of 1000m x 100m
- Stealthy
- Lifetime 3-6 months
- Timely detection, track and classification
  - Large or small vehicle
  - Person, person with weapon
- Wakeup other devices when necessary
  - Extend the lifetime of those devices as well
- Exhibit self-healing capabilities

#### **VigilNet Architecture V1.3**



Native and Lawrence

Sensing Lave

#### **Tripwire-based Surveillance**

- Partition sensor network into multiple sections.
- Turn off all the nodes in dormant sections.
- Apply sentry-based power management in tripwire sections
- Periodically, sections rotate to balance energy.



#### **System Test with 203 Nodes**



### **3-Tier Classification**



### **Concluding Remarks**

- Sensor networks provide an inexpensive vehicle for exploring various (old and new) research issues
- Commercial applications with RFIDs as leader
- Current and future directions: query processing using geostatistics, sensor network security; tradeoffs among perf, security, reliability and resource consumption; extreme scaling and other DoD/commerical apps.