Challenges in A/D Design and Practical Understanding of A/D Specifications

Ion E. Opris Opris Consulting opris@pacbell.net (408) 274-3321

Topics:

- I. Examples of A/D systems and specifications
- II. Quantization and SNR
- III. THD and SFDR
- IV. ENOB and power estimations
- V. Practical limitations
- VI. Systems and specifications revisited
- VII. Summary

Basic Rule: "Ask questions and challenge opinions !"

I. Examples of A/D systems and specifications

I.1 10-bit A/D specifications:

- Resolution N = 10 bit
- Signal-to-noise ratio SNR = 60 dB
- Total harmonic distortion THD = -62.5 dB
- Maximum differential non-linearity DNL = +/-0.5 LSB
- Maximum integral non-linearity INL = +/- 1 LSB
- Input signal frequency Fin = 200 MHz
- Sampling rate Fs = 50 MS/s (undersampling)

I.2 8-bit A/D specifications:

- Resolution N = 8 bit
- Signal-to-noise ratio SNR = 43 dB
- Spurious free dynamic range SFDR = 72 dB
- Maximum differential non-linearity DNL = +/-0.5 LSB
- Maximum integral non-linearity INL = +/- 1 LSB
- Input signal frequency Fin = 5 MHz
- Sampling rate Fs = 50 MS/s

I.3 Video decoder system



I.4 Audio system



I.5 Receiver IF/baseband



II. Quantization and SNR

Noise contributors:

- Quantization
- Circuit noise: thermal
 - 1/f
 - pick-up noise (digital/substrate etc.)
- Clock jitter

II.1 Ideal quantization



Ideal Quantization (cont'd)

Quantization error power

$$Pq = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}LSB} P(x) \cdot x^2 dx = \frac{LSB^2}{12}$$
(1)

Signal power

$$Ps = \frac{(2^{N-1})^2 \cdot LSB^2}{2}$$
(2)

Signal-to-noise ratio

$$SNR = \frac{Ps}{Pq} = 10 \cdot \log 10 \left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{2N}\right) = 6.02 \cdot N + 1.76 \ dB \tag{3}$$

II.2 Quantization with DNL

- assume DNL max = +/- 1/2 LSB



Ion Opris - Challenges in A/D Design

Quantization with DNL (cont'd)

Quantization error power

$$Pq = \int_{-1LSB}^{1LSB} P(x) \cdot x^2 dx = \frac{LSB^2}{6}$$
(4)

Signal-to-noise ratio

$$SNR = \frac{Ps}{Pq} = 10 \cdot \log 10 \left(\frac{3}{4} \cdot 2^{2N}\right) = 6.02 \cdot N - 1.25 \ dB \tag{5}$$

SNR with thermal noise

$$SNR = \frac{Ps}{Pq + Pn} \tag{6}$$

if

$$Pn \approx Pq$$
 (7)

$$SNR = \frac{Ps}{Pq} = 10 \cdot \log 10 \left(\frac{3}{8} \cdot 2^{2N}\right) = 6.02 \cdot N - 4.27 \ dB \tag{8}$$

Ion Opris - Challenges in A/D Design

III. THD and SFDR

Ideal quantization power

$$Pq = \frac{LSB^2}{12} \tag{9}$$

- energy distributed at signal harmonics (aliased back in 0 to Fs/2 interval)
- maximum number of harmonics ~ $\pi^* 2^N$ (derived from max. amplitude and max. slew rate)

Min. power per harmonic

$$Ph = \frac{Pq}{\pi \cdot 2^{N}} = \frac{LSB^{2}}{12 \cdot \pi \cdot 2^{N}}$$
(10)

Ideal SFDR

$$SFDR = \frac{Ps}{Ph} = 10 \cdot \log 10 \left(\frac{12 \cdot \pi}{8} \cdot 2^{3N} \right) = 9.03 \cdot N + 6 \ dB \tag{11}$$

Realistic SFDR from ideal quantization only

$$SFDR = 9.03 \cdot N \ dB \tag{12}$$

IV. ENOB and power estimation

• signal to noise plus distortion ratio

$$SNDR = \frac{Ps}{Pq + Pn + Pthd}$$
(13)

where Pthd is the power in the first several harmonics

• the effective number of bits is based on ideal quantization SNR

$$ENOB = \frac{SNDR(dB) - 1.76}{6.02}$$
(14)

• practical ENOB with $Pn \approx Pq$

$$ENOB = N - 1$$

• energy per effective conversion

$$E = \frac{Pd}{Fs \cdot 2^{ENOB}}$$
(16)

where Pd - power dissipation (W) Fs - sampling rate (Hz)

state of the art designs E = 1-1.5 pJ / effective conversion (scaled pipelines)

(15)

ENOB and power estimation (cont'd)

- power dissipation depends strongly on the thermal noise
- power dissipation depends weakly on the quantization noise
- power efficiency if *Pn* » *Pq* (noise limited by thermal, rather than quantization) implies

ENOB < N - 1

(17)

V. Practical limitations

V.1 SNR limitations

- thermal noise (limited by power dissipation and silicon area)
- clock jitter determines an equivalent signal noise

$$Pj = (2^{N-1} \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_{in})^2 \cdot \overline{\Delta t_{jitter}^2}$$
(18)

that limits the achievable SNR to

$$SNR = \frac{1}{\pi \cdot fin \cdot \Delta t_{jitter}}$$
(19)

example: 1 ps rms jitter limits a 50 MHz input signal to 76 dB or 12.3 ENOB

- quantization noise
- calibration quantization and calibration noise
- pick-up/substrate noise

V.2 THD limitations

• input pad non-linear capacitance $THD \approx fin \cdot R \cdot \Delta C$

(20)

- Pad protection $50 \Omega \rightleftharpoons R \bigtriangleup \Delta C \qquad C = f(Vin)$
- example: $R=50 \Omega$, $\Delta C = 1 pF$, fin = 20 MHz, THD = 0.1 % or -60 dB (single ended)
- solutions:
 - fully differential implementations (even order harmonic cancellation);
 - bootstrapping of input node (reduce ΔC);
 - reduce signal source impedance (R);
 - use a transimpedance amplifier (the input pad is a virtual ground node);
 - calibration and correction of non-linearity;

THD limitations (cont'd)

• sample and hold switch non-linearity $THD \approx fin \cdot \Delta R \cdot C$

(21)



- solutions:
 - fully differential implementations (even order harmonic cancellation);
 - bootstrapping of sampling switch (reduce ΔR limited by size and back bias);
 - reduce loading (C limited by thermal noise);
 - use a closed loop S/H implementations (slow and high power dissipation);
 - calibration and correction of non-linearity;

THD limitations (cont'd)

• signal dependent residual charge injection



- opamp finite loop gain and non-linear transfer function
- stage finite settling
- calibration noise and calibration quantization

VI. Systems and specifications revisited

VI.1 10-bit A/D specifications:

- Resolution N = 10 bit
- Signal-to-noise ratio SNR = 60 dB
- Total harmonic distortion THD = -62.5 dB
- Maximum differential non-linearity DNL = +/-0.5 LSB
- Maximum integral non-linearity INL = +/- 1 LSB
- Input signal frequency Fin = 200 MHz
- Sampling rate Fs = 50 MS/s (undersampling)

issues:

- SNR implies ENOB = 9.67
- no margin for thermal noise
- margin for jitter -74.6 dB
- clock jitter < 0.3 ps rms

I.2 8-bit A/D specifications:

- Resolution N = 8 bit
- Signal-to-noise ratio SNR = 43 dB
- Spurious free dynamic range SFDR = 72 dB
- Maximum differential non-linearity DNL = +/-0.5 LSB
- Maximum integral non-linearity INL = +/- 1 LSB
- Input signal frequency Fin = 5 MHz
- Sampling rate Fs = 50 MS/s

issues:

- SFDR ~ 9*N dB is limited by ideal quantization

I.3 Video decoder system



$$Vn = \sqrt{4 \cdot k \cdot T \cdot B \cdot R} = 2.7 \,\mu Vrms \tag{22}$$

max. SNR

$$SNR = \frac{Vin_{rms}}{Vn} = 102.4 \ dB \tag{23}$$

I.4 Audio system



input noise:

$$Vn = \sqrt{4 \cdot k \cdot T \cdot B \cdot R} = 1.6 \,\mu Vrms \tag{24}$$

max. SNR

$$SNR = \frac{Vin_{rms}}{Vn} = 116.4 \, dB \tag{25}$$

max. ENOB

$$ENOB = \frac{SNR - 1.76}{6.02} = 19 \ bit \tag{26}$$

I.5 Receiver IF/baseband



• issues:

- LPF needs a 7th order Chebyshev or 5th order elliptic;
- LPF needs tuning within +/- 2%;

Receiver IF/baseband (cont'd)

alternative implementation



LPF: - untuned 3-pole;

VII. Summary

- Fundamental limitations based on ideal quantization can not be violated
- Real limitations are seriously degrading the A/D performance
- There are many limitations outside the A/D design (clock jitter, pad protection non-linearity)
- Best power efficiency is for ENOB < N-1
- Reduce quantization noise (It's always easier to implement a N+1 bit converter rather than an N-bit converter for same performance)
- Use a system approach and challenge system assumptions for best use of the ADC