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• Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)
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• Ultra Low-Loss Optical Interconnect requirements for QKD
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  – Fiber-to-QPIC
• Summary
Introduction to Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)
Recent Network Trends driving Data Growth

From mid 2000s to early 2010’s the focus of the industry has been FTTH with various high profile national projects such as the HSBB in Malaysia, NG-NBN in Singapore, NBN in Australia & also the UFB in NZ.

Beginning from mid 2010s to 2020, Hyperscale DCs for Cloud services has dominated the growth of the industry with mass adoption of optics in place of conventional copper for DC Interconnect.

The adoption of AI in various industries has seen massive data transfer for analytics and machine learning. Networks are required to collect, route, and process this vast amount of data at real-time speeds.

It is forecasted that the next phase of growth will be from mass deep fibre deployment to cater for the connectivity that will provide the connectivity to 5G cell sites (both outdoor and in-building).

Source: Team Analyst
The Rise of Cloud/Hyperscale Data Centres
What is a Hyperscale Data Centre?

What are hyperscale data centres?

Large scale data centres run by ICPs for Cloud and other services

- > 500 RACKS
- > 5000 SERVERS
- > 10,000 SQFT
Projected growth of infrastructure spending by segment

Cloud nearly matches telecom spend in 2020, as we forecasted seven years ago.

2020 spending rise (+6% vs. 2019):
- Led primarily by Cloud (+43%)
- In lieu of Telecom (flat) and Enterprise (-25%)
- Cloud had the highest CAGR from 2016-2020, (+29%) vs Telecom (-1%), and Enterprise (-9%)

Note: Spending includes company reported 'capital expenditures' or 'purchases of property, plant, and equipment'. It includes data center equipment (servers, storage, transport, etc.) as well as real estate, office building construction, and other items.

Source: LightCounting
Next Evolution of the Cloud

Quantum Computers
High performance computers increasingly complemented with Quantum Computer pods

Advanced computing
Artificial Intelligence
Neural networks (neuromorphic)
World-scale simulation

Future hyperscale data centres and exascale computers may increasingly incorporate quantum computer and communication nodes to complement their capabilities including for example the provision of “Quantum As A Service”.

Quantum Communication
Quantum Key Distribution uses the principles of quantum superposition and entanglement to determine if data has been transferred securely

Security
Unhackable databases and smart contracting using Blockchain servers. Required for Medical, Financial, Cryptocurrencies

These quantum nodes will be interconnected by special quantum networks
The need for a Secure Communications Networks
What is Quantum Cryptography?

Quantum cryptography: any attempt to intercept quantum particles along a quantum communication channel will irreversibly change the state of the quantum particles and will be detectable by the parties exchanging information.
How does QKD work?
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How does QKD work?

- take advantage of quantum effects, such as superposition or entanglement
- a particle, such as a single photon, can be many things at once, until it is measured or observed for the first time
How does QKD work?
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Inherent challenges of a QKD Link

A single green (500 nm) photon has an energy of about 0.000000000000000000000000004 Joules!
Overview on quantum standards activities

**ISO/IEC JTC1**
- SC7 formed SG1 to investigate quantum standards
- SC27 focusses on security and privacy in ICT systems

**ITU -T**
- SG 17 – Quantum security
- SG 13 – QKD
- FG-QIT4N – Quantum information technology for networks

**IEEE**
- P7130 Standards for QC Definitions
- P1913 for Software Quantum Communications
- P7131 for QC performance metrics & Performance Benchmarking

**CEN / CENELEC**
- FGQT – Focus Group on Quantum Technologies

**ETSI**
- ISG QKD – Quantum key distribution
- TC Cyber WG QSC – Quantum Safe Cryptography

**IEC SMB/SWG 10**
- WP on Quantum Information Technologies
Optical QKD Interconnections

Metro & Local Area Networks

Photonics Integration
QuPC® Optical Connectors

Metro & Local Area Networks
What affects IL and RL in connectors?

Equation for Eccentricity vs IL (as stipulated in EN 50733-8-3 & IEC 61300-3-34 Standards)

\[ \eta_{\text{combined}} = -10 \cdot \log \left[ \frac{4A^2}{B^2} \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{2 \cdot l^2}{B} - \frac{C \cdot A^2}{B} \cdot (\sin \Theta)^2 \right] \right] \]

Where:

- \( A = \omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 \cdot B = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 \cdot C = 2\pi^2 \cdot \frac{n_0^2}{\lambda^2} \)

- \( l = \) lateral misalignment between fibre cores
- \( \Theta = \) pointing error between the fibres
- \( \lambda = \) wavelength (in vacuum)
- \( n_0 = \) refractive index of the fibre core
- \( \omega_1 = \) mode-field radius of transmitting fibre
- \( \omega_2 = \) mode-field radius of receiving fibre
What affects IL and RL in connectors?

- Lateral offset
- Angular misalignment
How to improve IL and RL in connectors?

- Material
  - Ferrule concentricity
  - Ferrule hole diameter
  - Cladding to Core concentricity
  - Core Ouality
  - Cladding Ouality

- Manufacturing
  - Polishing
  - Ferrule to Fiber attachment
  - Epoxy & Curing
  - Tuning
How to improve IL and RL in connectors

Examples of Core and Cladding Concentricity and Ovality

a) Ideal fiber with perfectly circular core and cladding and the centres of core and cladding aligned,

b) Fiber with perfectly circular core and cladding, but where the geometric centre of the core is offset to the centre of the cladding

c) Fiber where cladding is circular, but fiber exhibits slight ovality

d) Fiber where core is circular, but cladding exhibits slight ovality
How to improve IL and RL in connectors

Perfect Ferrule Concentricity

Ferrule Concentricity Error

Core-to-Core Misalignment

Large ferrule hole diameter

Minimized ferrule hole diameter

Ferrule fiber hole diameter error
How to improve IL and RL in connectors

Fiber Core center location in (a) Untuned Connector and (b) Tuned Connector

Controlled during polishing process

Controlled during Tuning process

(a)  
(b)  

- Axis of fiber
- Apex of curvature
- Apex offset
- Fiber undercut
- Fiber protrusion
- End face with apex offset
- End face with fiber undercut
- End face with fiber protrusion

Key

Fiber Core center
What kind of Optical Connector will QKD needs?

- Based on existing international standards
- Target:
  - ‘Optical connector’ that performs like a fusion splice
  - Insertion Loss : <0.1dB
  - Optical Return Loss : >60dB

**Table 1 – Recommended characteristics for single-mode fibre splices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Mechanical splice (single fibre) (Note 3)</th>
<th>Fusion splice with protector (single fibre) (Note 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1.1</td>
<td>Attenuation/Insertion loss (IL)</td>
<td>IEC 61300-3-7</td>
<td>IL at 1310 nm, 1550 nm and 1625 nm</td>
<td>≤ 0.2 dB average ≤ 0.5 dB max in 97%</td>
<td>≤ 0.1 dB average ≤ 0.2 dB max in 97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.2</td>
<td>Return loss (RL)</td>
<td>IEC 61300-3-6 method 1 or 2</td>
<td>RL at 1310 nm, 1550 nm and 1625 nm</td>
<td>When straight cleaved: ≥ 35 dB (grade 3) ≥ 45 dB (grade 2) When angle cleaved: ≥ 60 dB (grade 1)</td>
<td>≥ 60 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Same requirement as fusion splice

* Table in L.400
Experimental Results – Component Test

**Experimental Setup: Component Testing**

**Insertion Loss and Back Reflection Readings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connector No:</th>
<th>Insertion Loss (dB)</th>
<th>Backreflection (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1310nm</td>
<td>1550nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Results – Link Test

Experimental Setup: Link Test

* Non-abrasive gel based cleaner was use to avoid creating micro-scratches on the connector end faces
OTDR Trace 2019

Location of Splice 2 @ 51m

Location of QuPC Connection @ 50m
OTDR Trace 2020

Graph

Location of Q Connection @ 45m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Pos./Length (m)</th>
<th>Loss (dB)</th>
<th>Reflectance (dB)</th>
<th>Attenuation (dB/km)</th>
<th>Cumulative (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Connector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-70.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.928</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflective</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-61.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results: QuPC Connectors were not detected by OTDR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulse Width (ns)</th>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>Splice 1</th>
<th>Q Connection</th>
<th>Splice 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The QuPC Connection is as ‘good’ as a ‘splice’ & exceed target.

X – connector not detected
Reliability Assurance – GR-326-CORE

These General requirements cover documentation, packaging, design features, intermateability, product markings and safety.

A sequence of environmental and mechanical tests that simulate possible conditions the connectors or connector assemblies may be under while in service.

Various tests intended to determine long term reliability of the connector or connector assemblies. Usually simulating 25 year lifetime.

The program focuses on requirements for the manufacturing process that relate to long term reliability and performance of the finish product. Also includes additional testing to ensure the stability of the manufacturing process.
Service Lifetime Test @ approx 60 days

Environmental Testing
- Thermal aging
- Thermal cycle
- Humidity aging
- Dry-out step
- Humidity/condensation cycle
- Post condensation thermal cycle

Mechanical Testing
- Vibration Test
- Twist Test
- Flex Test
- Durability test
- Impact test
- Proof test
- TWAL test

Your Source for Optical Interconnect Solutions
Extended Service Lifetime Test @ >2000 hrs

Environmental Tests
- Extended Thermal Aging
- Extended Thermal Cycle
- Extended Humidity Aging

Exposure Tests
- Airborne Contaminants
- Salt Spray
- Corrosion Test
- Dust
- Ground Water Test
References:

https://www.senko.com/technical/senko-group-technical.html
PIC-to-Fibre Coupling

Key Challenges
Photonic Integrated Circuit (PIC)

- A photonic integrated circuit (PIC) or integrated optical circuit is a **device that integrates multiple (at least two) photonic functions** and as such is similar to an electronic integrated circuit.

- The most commercially utilized material platform for photonic integrated circuits is **indium phosphide (InP)**, which allows for the integration of various optically active and passive functions on the same chip. Other PICs materials may include:
  - Silicon, Silica, Silica Nitrate (SiN) & Polymer (passive function only),
  - Lithium Niobate (LiNbO3), Indium Phosphate (InP) and Gallium Arsenide (GaAs)

- Quantum Photonic Integrated Circuit (QPIC) are PICs developed for quantum cryptography, communications, and computing requires reducing existing table-top experiments (e.g. quantum light source, quantum number generators, etc)
## Photonic integrated circuit platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Silicon</th>
<th>InP</th>
<th>SiN</th>
<th>Silica (SiO)</th>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>LiNbO$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waveguides</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre coupling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulators</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>+++/---</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light sources</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo detectors</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footprint</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wafer size</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid integration</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Silicon (Source: CEA LETI)*
*Indium Phosphide (Source: Infinera)*
*Silicon Nitride (Source: Lionix)*
*Silica (Glass) (Source: Teem Photonics)*
*Polymer (Source: Lightwave Logic)*
*Lithium Niobate LiNbO$_3$*
PIC related Optical Interconnect

1. Backplane Interconnectors
2. Fibre Routing
   - Fibre Shuffles
   - Fibre Coating/Lamination
3. Photonic Integrated Circuit Coupling
4. On-Board/Mid-Board Interconnect
5. Front Panel/Face Plate
PIC-to-Fibre

- PIC-to-Fibre coupling is essentially the technique to couple the optical signal between the waveguide of the PIC and the core of the optical fibre
- Objective: to match the MFD of the Fibre and the PIC waveguide to achieve highest possible coupling efficiency between the two medium

"The mode field diameter (MFD) describes the width of this intensity profile"
Objective of PIC-to-Fibre Coupling

Coupling Efficiency:

\[
\text{loss (dB)} = -10 \log \left( \frac{4}{\left( \frac{MFD_1}{MFD_2} + \frac{MFD_2}{MFD_1} \right)^2} \right)
\]

Best Coupling efficiency is achieved when

\[ MFD_1 = MFD_2 \]

\[ \text{Loss (dB)} = 0 \]

Not considered:
- Lateral offset
- Angular misalignment
Conventional single-mode Fibre

Optical Fibre 1
- Core: 10µm
- Cladding: 125µm
- Typical MFD\(_1\)
  - 10µm
  - Circular

Optical Fibre 2
- Core: 10µm
- Cladding: 125µm
- Typical MFD\(_2\)
  - 10µm
  - Circular
Conventional Fibre-to-Fibre Coupling

Estimated coupling efficiency high because \( MFD_1 \approx MFD_2 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connector No.</th>
<th>Insertion Loss (dB)</th>
<th>Backreflection (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1310nm</td>
<td>1550nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Insertion Loss and Back Reflection Readings of QuPC® Connectors

Typically ~1% loss or ~99% coupling efficiency
How about for PIC-to-Fibre Coupling?

Core: 10µm

Cladding: 125µm

Typical MFD:
- 10µm

Typical MFD:
- <2µm
Fibre vs PIC: Dimensional Mismatch

Core: 10μm

Cladding: 125μm

Si waveguide: 2~3μm x 1μm
Conventional Fibre vs PIC

Core: 10 µm
Cladding: 125 µm

Core: 2~3 µm

A_{Fibre} > A_{Waveguide}

Difference in area is 35 time

Waveguide: 2 µm

Fibre Core: 10 µm

\[
\text{loss (dB)} = -10\log\left(\frac{4}{\frac{\text{MFD}_1}{\text{MFD}_2} + \frac{\text{MFD}_2}{\text{MFD}_1}}\right)^2
\]
Fibre vs PIC Waveguide: Light Distribution

Light intensity distribution

Core: 10µm
Cladding: 125µm

Typical MFD:
- 10µm
- Circular

Si waveguide
Si substrate

Typical MFD:
- <2µm
- Elliptical

2~3µm
1µm

SiO₂
PIC-to-Fibre

- What are the challenges:
  - Match Mode Field Diameter (MFD)
    - Material mismatch between fibre/waveguide (reflective index and numerical aperture)
    - Size/Dimension mismatch between fibre/waveguide
    - Shape/Light Distribution mismatch between fibre/waveguide

Waveguide Size Mismatch
10µm vs 2µm

Light Distribution Mismatch
Circular vs Elliptical
PIC-to-Fibre Coupling

Matching the MFD
Types of PIC-to-Fibre Coupling

Diffraction grating-based coupling
- Vertical fixed coupler or vertical free space coupler

End-fire/Edge coupling
- Active edge coupler or passive edge coupler
- Adiabatic coupling and Photonic Wire-bonding

Diffraction grating coupling examples:
- Vertical fixed coupler
- Vertical free space coupler

End-fire/Edge coupling examples:
- Active Edge coupler
- Passive Edge coupler
- Adiabatic coupling
- Photonic Wire-bonding

Sources:
- Vanguard photonics
- Tyn dall
- IBM
- Vanguard photonics
Vertical Grating-Based Coupling
Diffraction Grating-Based Coupling

- Diffraction grating-based optical coupling is solution that provides PIC-to-Fibre coupling **vertically from the surface-normal** direction instead of chip edges.

- **Surface-corrugated grating structures** are usually patterned in the PIC’s waveguide layer to create a coherent constructive interference condition that diffractively couples the incident optical beam from the PIC waveguide into optical fibre core, or vice versa.

- The grating is capable of **matching MFD of the fibre and the PIC waveguide** to ensure higher coupling efficiency

- Applicable for both single core and also multicore fibres

*Typical MFD:
- Circular
- 10µm

*Typical MFD:
- Elliptical
- <2µm

*SiO₂

*Si substrate

*Schematic diagram of a diffraction grating-based coupling structure*
DIFFRACTION GRATING-BASED COUPLING

Principle of operation

• In general, the diffraction grating is composed of diffractive elements placed along the waveguide propagation direction. The efficient fibre-to-chip coupling can be typically achieved using a combination of a tapered waveguide region for horizontal mode size conversion and a grating coupler with a 10µm width similar to the MFD of typical single-mode fibre.

• The waveguide taper region connects to a single-mode waveguide with a grating coupler and transforms the optical field distribution along the width direction perpendicular to the waveguide’s propagating axis.

• The grating elements diffract the guided optical beam out of the waveguide plane, and the diffracted optical beam finally couples to the optical fibre’s guide mode.

Target MFD:
- Circular
- 10µm

Original MFD:
- Elliptical
- <2µm

Adapted from: Nanophotonics
Diffraction Grating-Based Coupling

Grating coupling has three advantages compared to End-Fire Coupling which are:

• **Post processing** such as dicing, or polishing is **not required**. This allows in-process wafer-scale optical characterization and testing

• The coupler structures **do not need to be located at the chip edges**, which improves layout design flexibility and optical port scalability

• Alleviated alignment tolerance makes measurement and packaging processes simpler.

The disadvantages of grating-based couplers are:

• **Polarization and wavelength dependent**

• **Lower coupling** efficiencies when compared to End-Fire Couplers

• **More complex and costly PIC design** including additional layer for mode conversion
Variation of Grating Coupling techniques

- Most fundamental of grating/vertical coupling
- Fibre is fixed above the grating on the PIC
- Requires high precision alignment to achieve best coupling efficiency
- Overall height of the coupling fixture is high (requires vertical space)

Source: Resolute Photonics
Variation of Grating Coupling techniques

- Fibre is fixed above the grating on the PIC but removable
- Relaxed alignment requirement due to expanded beam micro lenses
- Additional lens is required
- Overall height of the coupling fixture is high (requires vertical space)
90° Bent Fibre Array

- Fibre is fixed above the grating on the PIC
- Height is significantly reduced to < 5mm
- Lenses can be added to increase alignment tolerance

Fibre height highly depends on the bending radius of fibre
Reduce height by using:
- G.657.B3 fibre
- Reduce clad fibre (80µm) or etched clad fibre
45° Curve Polished/Cleaved Fibre Array

- Fibre is fixed parallel to the PIC which allows the total height to be significantly reduced to <3mm.

- Signal is reflected using the polished end-face of the fibre.

- A lensed polishing can be performed on fibre end face for better coupling efficiency.
45° Reflective Fibre Array

- Reflective plane at the end of fixture reflects the optical signal between the optical fibre and the PIC
- This approach eases the manufacturing process and potentially allows the coupling fixture to be pluggable
- Lensed structure is also incorporated to increase coupling efficiency

Source: Cudoform
Source: Optoscribe
Source: SENKO
End-Fire/Edge Coupling
End-Fire (also known as Edge Coupling) coupling directly connects two different waveguides and transfer optical signals. This method to couple optical fibre and the integrated waveguide for the PIC is a well-established approach for low-port-count photonic chip packaging (e.g., discrete laser & PLC modules).
End-Fire Coupling is advantageous over the grating coupling as it provides a **wide operating wavelength range**, and it is also **polarization-insensitive optical coupling properties**

Nevertheless, it typically requires **precise alignment tolerances**. It is possible to use lenses and other discreet optical components between the fibre and the PIC chip as a Spot Size Converter (SSC) in order to improve optical coupling efficiency.
END-FIRE COUPLING – PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION

The guided mode of the input waveguide is first radiated through the intermediate coupling region (of a spot size converter) and arrives at the front facet of the second waveguide.

The advantages of end-fire couplers are:

• Polarization independent
• Large operating wavelength range

Disadvantages of End-Fire Coupling are:

• Post processing such as dicing or polishing is required even to testing.
• The coupler structures need to be located at the chip edges and the space on the PIC required to perform the coupling
Lateral, vertical, and three-dimensional waveguide taper designs have been introduced to enlarge the effective MFD of the integrated waveguides with high-index core materials. This method gradually increases the width and/or height of the integrated waveguide to provide a large terminating facet area up to 100μm².

3D tapered SSC is an efficient optical fibre and PIC waveguide coupler where the final waveguide width can be made close to the standard single-mode fibre MFD. Dedicated fabrication steps, such as polishing, thick material deposition, and etching are required. It may also occupy more space when compared to other coupling schemes.
END-FIRE COUPLING – PHOTONIC WIRE BONDING

- The concept of photonic wire bonding, which can be considered as the optical analogue to metal wire bonding in electronics. **Photonic wire bonds (PWB) are single-mode freeform waveguides** that efficiently connect integrated optical chips to each other or to optical fibres.

- An additional advantage of PWB is that it is not limited to PIC to fibre but also PIC-to-PIC coupling.

![Diagram of photonic wire bonding](Source: Vanguard)

**Typical MFD:**
- Circular
- 10µm

![Diagram of waveguide and fibre](Source: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology)

**Typical MFD:**
- Elliptical
- <2µm
A typical **geometric microlens** may be a single element with one plane surface and one spherical convex surface to refract the light.

A different type of microlens has two flat and parallel surfaces and the focusing action is obtained by a variation of refractive index across the lens. These are known as **gradient-index (GRIN) lenses**.

Typical MFD:
- Circular
- 10µm

**Collimating Micro Lenses**

Typical MFD:
- Elliptical
- <2µm
**END-FIRE COUPLING – LENSED FIBRE & INVERSE TAPER**

- The lensed fibres are produced by glass pulling technology and IR laser shaping. It can be Single-mode (SM), Multimode (MM), and also Polarization maintaining (PM) lensed fibres.
- **Lensed fibre** are usually coupled with an inverse taper section of the waveguide where the width of the waveguide is gradually reduced along the direction of light propagation, down to a small value at the end tip.

![Diagram of lensed fibre and inverse taper waveguide](Image)

- **Typical MFD:**
  - Circular
  - 10µm

- **Focused MFD:**
  - Circular
  - ~3µm

- **Inverse Tapered Waveguide**

- **Typical MFD:**
  - Elliptical
  - <2µm
Metamaterial or subwavelength grating based PIC coupling consists of a Si waveguide in which fully etched trenches are periodically formed along the direction of light propagation. The **metamaterial region of the PIC will act as a spot size converter** matching the MFD of the single-mode fibre with the MFD of the PIC.

**Typical MFD:**
- Circular
- 10µm

**Metamaterial**
- Elliptical
- <2µm
Outline of GR-1221 Reliability Test Standards

**Mechanical Integrity**
- Mechanical Shock
- Vibration
- Straight Pull

**Endurance**
- High Temperature Storage (Dry)
- Temperature Cycling
- High Temperature Storage (Damp)
- Cyclic Moisture Resistance
- Low Temperature Storage
- Thermal Shock
References:

- Damaged Waveguide
- Delamination
- Damaged Fiber
- Misalignment (Epoxy Shrinkage)

https://www.senko.com/technical/senko-group-technical.html
## Comparison of PIC Coupling Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupling Type</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Grating Vertical Coupling** | • Post processing such as dicing, or polishing is not required. This allows in-process wafer-scale optical characterization and testing  
• The coupler structures do not need to be located at the chip edges, which improves layout design flexibility and optical port scalability  
• Alleviated alignment tolerance makes measurement and packaging processes simpler. | • Narrow bandwidth window (30nm~40nm) – not suitable for CWDM applications  
• Polarization sensitive  
• If using PM fibre-to-chip transmission, assembly more complicated as requires high precision angular alignment, but mitigated if using PM fibre array as part of parallel array unit |
| **Fire-end/ Edge Coupling** | • Large bandwidth window (~100nm) – suitable for CWDM applications  
• Mature technology mainly used for semiconductor laser coupling  
• Polarisation insensitive | • Requires active coupling and high precision V-groove or U-groove for alignment  
• No on-wafer testing possible (only vertical grating couplers allow testing of interfaces before dicing)  
• More complex and costly PIC design including additional layer of typically silicon nitride for mode conversion from main silicon waveguide layer |
Conclusion
Summary

• Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) is a key encryption approach to a secure ‘hack-proof’ network which will be an essential part of future cloud applications.

• Current optical technology is sufficient but not ideal to support QKD on existing fiber network. One of the key components will be ultra low loss optical connectors and QPIC interconnects.

• Ultra-low loss Optical Connectors is achievable utilizing available state-of-the-art components and manufacturing approach, and its reliability is tested using standardized industrial testing methodology such as the GR-326-CORE.

• Research and development into quantum grade QPIC interconnect to provide ultra-low loss coupling solutions between fiber and quantum photonics integrated circuits are still evolving. In the meantime, performance and reliability standards such as the GR-1209-CORE and GR-1221-CORE will provide quality assurance to the industry.
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