

## Oleg Wasynczuk

---

**From:** KLEMPNER Geoff [geoff.klempner@nuclearsafetysolutions.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2005 10:41 AM  
**To:** wasynczu@ecn.purdue.edu  
**Cc:** kerszei@songs.sce.com; nghai@austin.rr.com; lon.montgomery@siemens.com  
**Subject:** IEEE P67 Report

Oleg

The following is my report on the P67 activities.

We had a valid vote for IEEE P67 – “Guide for the Operation and Maintenance of Turbine Generators”. (you now have the latest documents)

We had over 75% of the eligible people in the ballot group who voted.  
We had over 75% affirmative votes and only one negative vote.

For the one negative vote, the comments were basically editorial in nature and the vote should have been an “Affirmative with comments”. The negative vote comments were in fact the easiest to address of all the voters.

All of the affirmative vote comments have also been addressed and only a few were felt not warranted by the working group.

The working group has reviewed all the comments and they have been incorporated into Draft 5. The document was submitted back to the IEEE, but rejected and I was told a re-ballot is required and that the IEEE SA would not even review D5 because there were changes in it. They said that if the comments were only editorial in nature, they make them if we mark them up on D4. So essentially I did their work for them and now they won't look at D5 and insist on a re-ballot.

So that's where we are at. If we want D5 of P67 to become a reality, it has to be re-balloted.

I believe the process is greatly flawed and if P67 is re-balloted, there is nothing stopping more comments and repetition of a vicious cycle. I am extremely disappointed in the process as a lot of work went into redoing P67 and it was already a good document as far as most people are concerned. It is in jeopardy now. My plan is to do the re-ballot, but I am out on the road continually and I am hard pressed for time to go through this all over again. When I get time, I will do it, unless one of the other working group members will take on the task to push it the second time.

Geoff Klempner  
P67 Working Group Chairman