



















<b>Risk Analysis for Energisation &amp; Reclosing</b> From σ and mean overvoltages obtained:				
	Voltage (kV)	Reclosing	Energization	
	138 (Case 1) 9.3 E-1 1.3 E-		1.3 E-9	]
Ris	sk of Fault Disco Case Voltage	nnection (Re Location of TI Beginning	Closing) With As, Risk of Fault (p Middle En	TLAs <sup>pu)</sup>
	Case 1 138 kV	2.27 E-2	7.09 E-6 2.64 I	E-12
	Case 2	5.45 E-3	5.03 E-6 6.68 I	E-12
<ul> <li>Risk of fault ↓ if TLAs connected at end of segment (i.e. far from S/E).</li> <li>Minimum clearances (conductor-tower) ≈ 1.0 m. Thus, fault risk analysis used a switching withstanding of 475 kV.</li> <li>Shown that using TLAs amidst + end-of-line, fault risk improved !!. This is important → supports tower clearances issue.</li> </ul>				







## Conclusions

- Studied upgrading of TL → showed feasibility regarding limitations e.g. space of corridors + construction of new TLs.
- Observed that overvoltages stemming from lightning + switching effectively diminished by TLAs installed.
- With TLAs → line's operative voltage + insulation level kept within certain safe ranges.
- Combination of risk analysis + altimetry analysis defined suitable towers for installing TLAs.
- Meanwhile System Operator OKs switching to new voltage, TLAs operating at 88 kV (jumper "on" + equalizing ring removed).

Thank you !!