



Introduction and Motivation

- ✤ Building electrical loads display nonlinear interactions with thermostatic setpoints, ambient temperature and electrical bus voltages.
- ✤ It is imperative that an adequate representation of this nonlinear behavior be incorporated into load models to effectively control buildings under demand side load management.
- Suilding load modeling traditionally focuses on electric heating with cycling and aggregate load variations which fail to effectively capture inherent electrical-thermal coupling.
- Standalone software packages such as DOE-2 are primarily used for building design purposes and are not easily integrated into electrical grid studies.
- The present work discusses a model that captures the building electro-thermal and buildinggrid coupling to improve understanding of grid connected building load operation.

Problem Formulation

- ✤ Building loads are primarily controlled through thermostatic temperature setpoint variations and are subject to operating limits determined by the HVAC equipment capacities.
- ✤ Load variations correspondingly cause variations in the electrical bus voltages, and hence, form a temperature-load-voltage coupling that constrain the building – grid operation.
- Operating without regard for such behavior could bring about inefficiencies and even have potentially detrimental effects on the power system.
- The following discussion will present a building load model that incorporates the efforts in [1,2,3] to capture the necessary temperature-load-voltage relationships.

Methodology

- The building-grid energy interaction is described through an Energy Hub [2], E2MT, with building controllable and uncontrollable load components (1).
- ✤ The thermostatically controllable load portion, primarily the HVAC equipment load, is presented with a corresponding conversion efficiency (P_{B}^{th}, η^{eq}) .

$$P_{in}^{elec} = P_{UC}^{elec} + \frac{1}{\eta^{eq}} P_{B}^{th}$$

- Building uncontrollable electric load/controllable thermal load UC
- Building uncontrollable electric load/controllable thermal load $P_{\rm B}^{\rm th}$
- Equivalent efficiency of conversion

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{UC}}^{\mathrm{elec}} = \mathbf{P}_{0} \left[\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{V}|}{|\mathbf{V}_{0}|} \right)^{2} + \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{V}|}{|\mathbf{V}_{0}|} \right) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{p}} \right]$$

- $_{\rm P}, P_{\rm P} ZIP \text{ coefficients } (also, Z_{\rm q}, I_{\rm q}, P_{\rm q})$
- Nominal uncontrollable electrical load (kW)
- Nominal bus voltage (p.u.)



Grid Connected Building Load Operation

Mohammed Muthalib and Chika Nwankpa, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA

(1)

(2)



$$0 = -\left(R^{th} + R_{a}\right)\psi + R_{a}u + R^{th}\psi_{a}$$
$$P_{B}^{th} = P_{n}^{th}\left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_{n}}\right)^{\alpha} + \frac{\psi_{a} - \psi}{R_{a}}$$

- as in [3].
- The thermal load is described through an equivalent circuit. The steady state circuit is given in Figure 2 and is accompanied by (3).
- The building load equation (1) can be written as (4) for real power and (5) for reactive power, with a constant power factor assumption.
- The multiplier $k_{Load} = tan(cos^{-1} PF)$ is defined for each building.

$$P_{D} = P_{0} \left[Z_{p} \left(\frac{|V|}{|V_{0}|} \right)^{2} + I_{p} \left(\frac{|V|}{|V_{0}|} \right) + P_{p} \right] + \frac{1}{\eta^{eq}} \left[P_{n}^{th} \left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_{n}} \right)^{\alpha} + \frac{\psi_{a} - \psi}{R} \right]$$

$$(4)$$

$$Q_{D} = k_{Load} P_{0} \left[Z_{q} \left(\frac{|V|}{|V_{0}|} \right)^{2} + I_{q} \left(\frac{|V|}{|V_{0}|} \right) + P_{q} \right] + k_{Load} \frac{1}{\eta^{eq}} \left[P_{n}^{th} \left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_{n}} \right)^{\alpha} + \frac{\psi_{a} - \psi}{R} \right]$$

$$(5)$$

Discussion of Results

- The results in Figure 4 were obtained through a load flow analysis of the network in Figure 3 by including building temperature as a state and using (4) and (5) to describe the loads.
- The circuit model parameters in Table 1 were estimated from actual building data while the ZIP parameters in Table 2 were obtained from [3] for large commercial and industrial buildings.
- * The results displayed are for a unidirectional loading of the buildings with the same setpoint, ψ_{set} , while the ambient temperature, ψ_a , was held.
- * As the results indicate, building internal and ambient temperature have a direct influence on load behavior.
- Building grid operation should consider thermal operating points as well as electrical.

- buildings and the electric grid.
- ✤ For the example network with given parameters, the bus voltages vary within allowable limits as shown in Figure 1 (b).
- ✤ In heavily loaded cases, however, it is possible that the bus voltage will violate the low voltage limit as shown in Figure 1 (c).
- Building operators should consider neighboring building operation as well as the electric grid operation to avoid grid constraint violations.
- Coordination between utility and consumer in the presence of regulatory programs such as Conservation Voltage Reduction can lead to increased efficiency of operation.

The authors would like to acknowledge and thank the United States Department of Energy for their financial support under grant No. DE-OE0000207

- Polanda, August 18-22 2014
- [3]

CENTER FOR ELECTRIC POWER ENGINEERING (CEPE), HTTP://POWER.ECE.DREXEL.EDU, 215-895-1466





Conclusions

The presented model captures the temperature-load-voltage relationship that exists between

Acknowledgement

References

Mohammed Muthalib, Chika Nwankpa, "Operating limits of Buildings As Controllable Loads," to be presented at the 18th Power System Computation Conference, Wroclaw,

Martin Geidl, G. Andersson, "Optimal Power Flow of Multiple Energy Carriers," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol.22, no.1, pp.145,155, Feb. 2007

A. Bokhari, A. Alkan, R. Dogan, M. Diaz-Aguilo, F. de Leon, D. Czarkowski, Z. Zabar, L. Birenbaum, A. Noel, R. E. Uosef, "Experimental Determination of the ZIP Coefficients for Modern Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Loads," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.29, no.3, pp.1372,1381, June 2014