### Software Safety and Certification

presented to

IEEE Spring Switchgear Committee Meeting Luncheon Seminar 4 May, 2004

by

### Howard Cox Underwriters Laboratories

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## What we will cover...

# Functional Safety Concepts– from IEC 61508

# The Second Edition of UL 1998 The Standard for Software in Programmable Components

#### UL's Software Certification Process

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# **Functional Safety Standards**

2 HOS <u>UL 1998</u> WARE <u>IEC 61508</u> **UL 991** PEOPLE **IEC 60730-1** UL End-product Standards

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

**IEEE/PES Switchgear Committee** Meeting

HARDWARE

## What is Functional Safety?

### IEC 61508-4 3.1.9 functional safety:

part of the overall safety relating to the EUC and the EUC control system which depends on the correct functioning of the E/E/PE safetyrelated systems, other technology safetyrelated systems and external risk reduction facilities

#### EUC = Equipment Under Control E/E/PE - Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

IEEE/PES Switchgear Committee Meeting

4

# What is Functional Safety?

ANSI/UL 1998 Second Edition 2.45 safety-related functions:

Control, protection and monitoring functions that are intended to reduce the risk of fire, electric shock, or injury to persons.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Role of E/E/PE Safety-related Systems

#### A safety-related system both:

- n implements the required safety functions necessary to achieve a safe state for the equipment under control or to maintain a safe state for the equipment under control; and,
- n is intended to achieve, on its own or with other E/E/PE safetyrelated systems, other technology safety-related systems or external risk reduction facilities, the necessary safety integrity for the required safety functions.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Functional Safety of Programmable Systems

Safety functions were carried out by electro-mechanical or solid state devices

- Programmable Electronics then began to replace the use of hard-wired systems
- It was proven that testing alone was not sufficient for achieving safety

Engineering consensus defined a need for new design and assessment methodologies

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# System Safety & Software Safety

- Draws from IEC 61508 and other recognized standards, such as IEC 60730-1 and ANSI/UL 1998
- Design safety into the entire system
- Software is a subsystem
- Addresses all design and development phases

# System Safety & Software Safety: Scope & Applicability

- IEC 61508 not intended for application to Low Complexity Systems
- IEC 61508 applies to systems including:
  - Emergency shut-down systems, gas- and oil- fired BMS's, dynamic positioning systems, signaling systems, etc...
  - PLCs, embedded controllers, firmware, PGAs, ASICs, and other configurable PEs

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## System Safety & Software Safety:

Scope & Applicability

Intended for systems utilizing PE
 Addresses system protection layers and devices (LOPA) analysis
 Addresses ALARP principle

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# System Safety: Approach

- Presents minimum set of processes, techniques, and methods for a safety life cycle
- provides uniform recommendations including a hazard identification methodology

aids in management, technical aspects and generation of safety plans

# System Safety: Structure

Introduction/Background
Management of Functional Safety
Safety Life Cycle Overview
Safety Life Cycle Phases
Safety File
Independent Assessment

# Safety Introduction

Introductory report for the industry
Basic system/software safety concepts
Discusses need to address functional safety
Describes benefits of implementing a system/software safety program

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

Software Safety: Structure

Software Safety Plan Framework
Documents
Software Safety Activities
Information on Methods and Techniques
References

# Software Safety: Approach

- Hazards identified associated with System Safety
- Risk analysis to determine what is to be controlled and to what degree
- Control determined in accordance with Safety Integrity Level Criteria (SIL)

## Safety File

"A documented body of evidence that provides a convincing and valid argument that a system is adequately safe for a given application in a given environment."



Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Safety File

- Written documentation and supporting engineering data that demonstrate:
  - satisfaction of specific safety requirements of the system
  - justification of engineering and management approaches to safety issues
  - conformance to recognized standards

### Independent Assessment

Guidance for determining the completeness and suitability of the safety evidence and justifications

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## Safety Framework Guidance

Supplements the safety framework reports
Provides additional information to users
Help in applying the safety framework
Describes methodologies
Gives examples and references

# UL 1998 Preface

- UL 1998 Requirements are to be referenced by:
  - product safety and performance requirements in a regulation, directive, or a purchasing specification
- Risks that must be considered are identified by:
  - safety and performance standards
  - purchasers
  - users

# UL 1998 Preface (cont.)

UL 1998 avoids the specification of testing protocols and tools:

 diversity of software functions results in a diversity of test harness

UL 1998 instead indicates test objectives and criteria

- Benefits of this Meta-Level Approach:
  - maximum flexibility in optimizing test solutions to meet safety objectives

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## 2. Definitions of Terms Used

#### **IEEE Std. 610.12-1990**

The IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology

#### **IEC 61508**

Functional safety : safety-related systems. Part 4 : Definitions and Abbreviations of Terms

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# **Definitions**

#### Non-Networked Embedded Software

Embedded software that executes on a single microprocessor/microcontroller or on multiple microprocessors/ microcontrollers residing in the same physical system.

#### Programmable Components

Microelectronic hardware that can be programmed in the design center, the factory, or in the field.

#### Safety-Related Functions

Control, protection and monitoring functions that are intended to reduce the risk of fire, electric shock, or injury to persons.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# **UL 1998 CORE REQUIREMENTS**



## 3. Risk (Hazard) Analysis

Risk Analysis answers the questions....
What is potentially harmful ?
How could this situation arise?
How is this risk addressed?

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# HAZARD ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)
Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)
Other Equivalent Methods

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)

 Deductive method starting with an identified hazard (from the hazard list) and examines combinations of contributing events to this hazard by tracing the pathway into the product

# Limitations of FTA

Originally intended for hardware
Based on assumptions

Events are sequential
Hardware and software are discrete
Prior knowledge of product design

# Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

- Starts with an identified hazard
- Assumes a component failure mode, and predicts the local and system effects
- Assigns a mitigation method
  - Predicts the change in the local and system effects
  - Predicts a reduction in the severity of the identified hazard

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Limitations of FMEA

- Originally intended for hardware production and/or production process analysis
- Based on assumptions
  - Events are sequential and predictable
  - Hardware and software are discrete
  - Prior knowledge of product design or process steps
- Infinite number of possible component failures
- Each process step needs to be analyzed with separate FMEA

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# LIFE-CYCLE PHASES

EXAMPLES
Requirements
Design
Implementation
Installation
Operation and maintenance



Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 5. Tool Qualification

# "5.1 Evidence of tool qualification shall be provided..."



Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## **Tool Qualification Examples**

#### Calibration

Errata Sheets / Bug Lists from Vendors
 Internal Verification / Audits
 Third Party Tool Certification Program

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 6. Software Design

- Single point failures
- Fault detection
- System response
- Routine Priorities



Non-terminating or non-deterministic states
Software libraries, OS, kernels, et. al.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Attributes of a Safety-Related Design

- Traceable to hazard analysis and requirement specifications
- Verifiable, testable, maintainable
- Well defined interfaces; sw/sw, hw/sw
- Safety-critical functions partitioned from non-safetycritical functions
- Initialization of variables to non-hazardous state
- Predictable non-hazardous system failure states

## Attributes to be Identified

Fault-handling functions and routines
Risk-addressed state and state transitions

Redundant safety-related software functions

- Redundant safety-related hardware functions
- Self diagnosis routines at start-up and during run-time
- Data integrity

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 7. Critical and Supervisory Sections of Software

Requirements include
 Partitioning

- Addressing
- Supervisory section
- Software initialization

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# **Should You Partition ?**

#### In complex products:

- It demonstrates design control over safety-related software, where critical components have been minimized
- It provides a scope for conducting the software review thus reducing the costs of development and compliance
- It allows UL to structure a practical program that is able to accommodate software updates (reduces maintenance review time)

# Safety Partitioning

 Defined interfaces between logical partitions such as modules

Defined information flow across the interface

 Examination of shared resources, such as registers, timers, variables

Interface exclusivity

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

### 8. Software Measures to Address Microelectronic H/W Failure Modes

 "8.1 Measures shall be provided to detect all microelectronic hardware failure modes documented by the Risk Analysis.

APPENDIX A - Table A2.1 provides examples of acceptable mitigation methods.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## 8. Software Measures to Address Microelectronic H/W Failure Modes

#### Covers the following items:

- Registers
- Instruction Decoding & Execution
- Program Counter
- Addressing
- Data Paths
- Interrupt Handling and Execution

- Clock
- Timing
- Volatile Memory (DRAM)
- Non Volatile Memory (EEPROM)
- Input / Output

## 9. Product Interface

Addresses these key concepts:

- Hardware Control
- Power interruptions
- Software Initialization
- Software termination

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## **10. User Interface Design**

These requirements apply only to software that accepts user input

Note - even a reset button may be considered "user interface."



Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 11. Software Analysis and Testing





Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Verification and Validation and Test

#### Document

- plan for each defined life-cycle phase
- methods for doing and recording
- acceptance criteria
- **Tests** 
  - for functionality and performance
  - to challenge (stress) the system
- Validate system to
  - requirements specifications
  - intended uses
  - customer needs

# **Verification Activities Include**

Reviews – Design reviews – Walkthroughs Prototyping Traceability matrices - Requirements specifications - Mitigation of identified hazards Testing

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Strategy for V & V Plan

For each identified hazard:

Determine the categories of defects

Plan to use a variety of verification methods

- Add other verification methods to find more defects
- Start with method most likely to find the largest population of defects

## V & V Document Control

- The V&V plan and methods may change as a consequence of the results of the V&V reviews and tests
- Changes must be under document control
- Results, even nonconforming results, must be kept

## Traceability

Test cases are traceable to the hazard analysis

For each identified hazard, that is traceable to the software, the logical pathway(s) is (are) subjected to adequate test coverage

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# Validation of Software Requirements

#### **IMPORTANT**

- Validation is performed <u>before</u> delivery to the customer
- Validation is performed by personnel that are independent from the design team

#### **Examples of Validation**

- Functional and safety tests
- User acceptance tests
- Installation and checkout tests

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## **Sources of Faults**

#### Requirements

- Errors in Conversion
- Design
  - Incorrect algorithms and interfaces
- Coding
  - Syntax errors, incorrect signs, endless loops
- Timing
  - Missed deadlines

# **Manifestation of Faults**

#### Microelectronic Hardware

- Not enough memory, memory overlap, memory leaks
- I/O Mismatch
- State Transition Errors
- No operation
  - Failure to perform any function at all

# **SOURCE of ERRORS**

#### ERRORS FOUND EARLY ARE EASIER TO DETECT AND LESS EXPENSIVE TO CORRECT



Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 13. OTS (Off The Shelf software)

Applicable to embedded software purchased for use in the programmable system

- Floating point library
- CRC calculation module
- Operating System

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 14. Software Changes

- Software changes shall not introduce risks or impact previously identified risks except to reduce or eliminate them.
- Documentation should reflect all software changes
- Changes to system configuration or supervisory software also requires documentation and traceability
- Software changes are to be evaluated and determined to be in compliance with the requirements of the Standard.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# 15. Identification

Software Version Identification

- Identifies distinct software versions when changes have been made
- Facilitates software changes which are nonsafety related
- Identification Scheme
  - Must delineate changes to safety-related code from non-safety related code
- PSCS Certificate will be issued with only the safety-related version identifier

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

# **Client Request for Certification**

Teleconference with UL computer and product safety engineer(s) to discuss:

- Top-level product descriptions to be provided to UL
- Applicable U.S. and International Standards

\* Typically lasts 1 -2 hours

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.

## **Follow-Up Services**

Certificated software components are audited under UL's Follow-Up Services Program

- Microcontroller hardware manufacturer and part number
- Other electrical/electronic components essential to software system
- Software version identification

This is not considered the Maintenance Review

### **Maintenance Reviews**

- Applies to planned feature enhancements and unanticipated feature adjustments (Bug Fixes)
- Identifies functions that impact safety
- Specifies the conduct of risk analysis and reverification
- Results in Maintenance Review Report and Certificate
   Revision of Follow-Up Services Instructions

## Additionally UL's Programmable Systems Certification Service ...



# ... can be coordinated through UL affiliates world wide to meet global requirements.

Copyright © 2004 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.