



# Application of Robotic Technologies to Mountain Rescue

Claudio Melchiorri

CAI — Italian Alpine Club University of Bologna








## Summary



- Mountain search and rescue operations:
  - Some statistics
  - Winter scenario
  - Summer scenario
- The SHERPA project and its vision
  - Robotic technologies
    - The "donkey"
    - The "hawks"
    - The "wasps"
- Conclusions





#### Mountain SAR



- Very engaging environment because of
  - Rough terrain
  - Weather
  - Dangerous conditions
  - Poor visibility
  - Communication problems
  - Logistics
  - ...







SSRR 2013, Linkoping





#### **Mountain SAR**



- Although international associations exist (e.g. the *Internationale Kommission für Alpines Rettungswesen* IKAR-CISA), there is no common "practice" in organizing search and rescue operations in mountains:
  - Italy: based on volunteers (CNSAS of CAI)
  - UK: volunteers (& military force)
  - France: Gendarmerie Nationale (para-military police force)
  - CH: REGA (private association)
  - Japan: police & private associations
  - USA: professional teams within national parks or volunteer teams
  - Russia: volunteers (in very few areas, no helicopters...)
  - •
- Difficult to have an unified scenario/protocol of intervention
- Different countries have in general different "problems"







#### **Mountain SAR**



- CNSAS is a branch of CAI, the Italian Alpine Club
- It is a non-profit, non-political organization, devoted to search and rescue operations in mountains and caves
- It consists in more than 7.000 volunteer technicians, organized in about 250 rescue teams, covering the Italian territory
- > 6.000 operations per year
- It is a national body of the National Service for Civil Protection.













#### **OPERATIONS 1955-2012**








#### Rescuers













Month	# Int.	Perc.	
January	602	9.3%	
February	723	11.1%	
March	491	7.5%	
April	260	4.0%	
May	277	4.3%	
June	454	6.7%	
July	843	12.7%	
August	1173	18.0%	
September	665	10.2%	
October	444	6.8%	
November	199	3.1%	
December	411	6.3%	
TOT	6542		

June – September: about 50% December – February: about 30%

Activity	# Int.	Perc.
Hiking	2346	35.9%
Climbing	627	9.6%
Mushroom hunting	357	5.5%
Mountain biking	263	4.0%
Tourism related act.	210	3.2%
Paragliding	85	1.3%
Winter (ski resort)	920	14.1%
Winter (off track)	175	2.7%
Winter (avalanche)	44	0.7%
Other	1515	23.2%
TOT	6542	

Cause	# Acc	Perc.
Fall	2062	31.5%
Disorientation	817	12.5%
Stroke	707	10.8%
Slide	481	7.4%
Inability	449	6.9%
Weariness	150	2.3%
Falling rocks	65	1.0%
Other	1811	27.7%
TOT	6542	



## **SAR Operations**



#### Many different types of rescue operations:

#### WINTER:

- Sky (resort / off-piste)
- Avalanches
- Ice climbing
- "High" altitude
- •

#### **SUMMER**

- Search of missing persons
- Paragliding / Biking / Canyoning
- Rock climbing
- "High" altitude
- ...











## **SAR Operations**



#### Different environmental conditions

#### WINTER:

- Cold temperatures (-30°)
- Shorter days
- Mobility difficulties (snow)
- Generally: worse weather









## **SAR Operations**



#### Different environmental conditions

#### **SUMMER:**

- Temperatures (-10° ÷ +40°)
- Stronger thermal activity (wind)
- Mobility problems related to vegetation
- Generally: much larger areas to be patrolled









#### Winter scenario










#### Fatalities 2009/2010










#### **Fatalities 2010/2011**











#### **Fatalities 2011/2012**








Trend of fatalities in Italy from 1986 to 2012

#### In Italy, in average 18 persons die every year






#### **Avalanche Statistics in Switzerlad**



#### Avalanche fatalities since 1936/37








#### Many different types of avalanches:


- Powder snow
- Blocks of heavy "stiff" snow
- Spring/Winter avalanches
- Snow: 300 600 kg/m<sup>3</sup>







#### Three main areas







#### "Typical" conditions

- Average surface to scan: 50 x 200m (10000 m²)
- Average snow stockpile surface (5000 m²)
- Depth of buried persons: 0.70 1.00 m (avg)
- Wind: Peak: 100 Km/h (54 knots); Average: 35-55 Km/h
  (20-30 knots); non constant in direction and force (impulses)
- Temperature: up to -20°C
- Altitude: typically <=3500 m; 4000m must be considered</li>
- Low visibility: snow, rain, fog
- GSM not always working
- Backpack with technical equipment of rescuer not really heavy (< 5 Kg)</li>

#### Moreover:

- Number/conditions of buried persons
- Danger of other avalanches
- ..











#### Currently available technologies for finding buried persons

- Avalanche beacon (ARTVA, signal up to 30-40 m, weight 200 g, worn only by 50% of people)
- RECCO (passive system worn by very few people, R9 detector: weight 900 g, dimension as a school book, signal in the snow up to 20 m)


















## Probability of survival









## When possible, self-rescue by companions is the best option!

- Use of avalanche beacons, probe, snow shovel
- Well coordinated actions by group
- Well defined "search and extraction" procedure









## **Avalanche Search Procedure**



#### **Searching Procedure with ARTVA**

The search procedure with an ARTVA is divided into three phases:


- PHASE 1: detection of the signal (patrolling the avalanche area)
- PHASE 2: follow the electro-magnetic field along the field lines (distance from the buried ARTVA: starts from 20-30 m to 3 m)
- PHASE 3: fine search (within 3 m)



No ARTVA signal: First phase



ARTVA signal: Second phase



Strong ARTVA signal: Third phase








## If self-rescue is not possible

- Alert of the rescue team (118-112)
- Intervention helicopter (only if the weather is ok)
- The first team consists of:
  - technical alpine rescuers
  - dog handler
  - medical doctor
- If the problem is complex and is not solved by the first team, other personnel will be transported to the avalanche site
- If helicopters cannot fly, rescuers must use skis or snowshoes, involving a huge amount of time and increasing the probability of fatalities









■ alive ■ dead



#### Problems in avalanche rescue (snow terrain)

- distance from the target
- mobility difficulties in snow terrains:
  - need to move very quickly among big blocks of hard snow
  - need to move in soft and deep snow
- bad weather (snow wind fog)
- danger of other avalanches
- the number of victims is not always known
- it is not always possible to know if victims are equipped with beacons
- the beacons of the victims may be switched off
- survivors are in shock conditions
- the sanitary condition of the victims is always unknown













#### Summer scenario







#### Search operations



#### **Summer vs Winter operations**

#### WINTER:

- Number of avalanche rescues in a season: approx 40-50 (in Italy);
- Number of rescued persons in 2010 (avalanches, Italy): 189

#### **SUMMER:**


- Number of operations approx 30-40 per week (in a single region, e.g. Valle d'Aosta or Trentino);
- number of operation in Italy in 2010: 5813
- rescued persons in Italy in 2010: 6027







#### Lost in Italian mountains





#### Search operations



#### A "typical" search mission

- The emergency unit receives the call (through the 118, police, etc)
- The rescue team gets close to the area (by car)
- By means of molecular dogs possible search directions (e.g. valleys) are identified.
- Teams of about 4/5 persons start combing clusters according to pre-specified "algorithms".
- Search sessions last about 4 hours. Altitude gap +-800 m,
  +-3 Km distance by foot.
- No specific sensors are used. Just sight-hearing mode
- Difficult to guarantee 100% that the person is not in the searched area
- "Probabilistic" approach in designing the search areas









#### Search operations



#### **Operative conditions**

- Average surface to patrol: no limit, depends on many factors.
- Wind: Average: 27-37 Km/h (15-20 knots).
- On rocky walls: thermal vertical wind from bottom: 10 knots
- Temperature: 0 − 20° C
- Altitude: typically less than 3000 m
- GSM not always working
- Terrain, any kind:
  - rocks (different altitude)
  - grass (different altitude)
  - forest (under 2500m of altitude)









#### Difficulties in search operations

- very difficult terrain
- mobility difficulties:
  - steep slopes, cliffs, vegetation
  - many natural obstacles (trees, rocks, creeks, ...)
- possible bad weather (wind fog rain)
- poor visibility conditions (even night operations)
- large areas to be explored
- coordination of relatively large groups of rescuers, possibly with different competencies and skills (CNSAS, Civil Protection, fire-fighters, Army, ...)
- operations may last for several days







## Other operations



#### Winter

- Patrolling of ski-resort areas (evening)
- Deployment of first-aid equipment

#### **Summer**

- Deployment of first-aid equipment
- "Cable" operations (paraglides)





#### **SHERPA**



# Smart collaboration between Humans and ground-aErial Robots for imProving rescuing activities in Alpine environment


#### Goals:

- Development of mixed ground and aerial robotic platforms to support Search and Rescue missions
- Use in a real-world hostile environment like the alpine scenario
- Modes of interaction and task allocation amongst human and robotic actors with complementary capabilities
- Development of sharing and processing sensory data techniques for robotic and human navigation and for people search
- Address a number of research topics about cognition and control



# The SHERPA animals







# The SHERPA team



Part. #	Institution	Country	Leading scientists
1 (coord)	Università di Bologna		Lorenzo Marconi
2	University of Bremen		Micheal Beetz
3	ETH Zurich		Roland Siegwart
4	University of Twente		Raffaella Carloni
5	University of Leuven		Herman Bruyninckx
6	Linkopings University	-	Patrick Doherty
7	Università di Napoli Federico II		Vincenzo Lippiello
8	Aslatech (SME)		Andrea Sala
9	Bluebotics (SME)	+	Nicola Tomatis
10	Club Alpino Italiano		Andreina Maggiore







# "Busy genius"

- A human rescuer, expert of the specific rescuing mission or surveillance activity (e.g. a mountain guide, a specifically trained specialist, a forest guard, ...)
- The human transmits wirelessly his position to the robotic platform and communicates to it through handy and easy-to-operate technological devices
- "busy genius"
  - Incomparable cognitive capabilities
  - Often "distracted" by demanding rescuing activity ("sketchy inputs")






## The SHERPA animals



# "Trained wasp"



- Small-scale UAVs with onboard cameras/receivers
- Improved surveillance, capability of reaching rapidly a target location in the neighborhood of the team, increasing the patrolled rescuing area ("flying eyes")...
- Handy devices:
  - Technically conceived to be supervised by the human in simple and natural way
  - Safe and operable in the vicinity of the human (hand deployment)
- Multi-rotors configurations





# "Patrolling hawks"

- High-altitude, High-Payload unmanned aerial vehicles, patrolling a large area at high-altitude (50-100m) and complementing the capabilities of the small-scale UAVs.
- Constructing rough 3D maps of the rescuing area, serving as a communication hub.
- Fixed-wing, Rmax
- Complementarities with respect to the small scale UAVs (operational synergies)











# "Intelligent donkey"

- Ground rover: "intelligent" carrying vehicle equipped with a multifunctional robotic arm
- Recovering/re-charging base for the aerial vehicles, able to improve the operative radius and the overall autonomy of the combined system, carrying vehicle-20 Kg payload





#### **CNSAS** interest





## Interest in "wasps"

- Quadcopters equipped with cameras (RGB, thermal, ...) or other sensors
  - fast survey of "limited" areas
  - able to flight in a forest and scan areas with cameras (normal or thermal); feedback video for ground station; flying eyes for the rescuer
  - exploration of steep walls
  - rescue operations on cableways (cable cars)
  - avalanche
    - equipped with beacon
    - possible new (more efficient) search methods



#### **CNSAS** interest





#### Interest in "hawks"

- RMAX:
  - deployment of wasps to improve efficiency
  - Carry and deploy first aid kit for missing people (sleeping bad, medicines, thermos flask)
  - Radio/Wi-Fi/GSM bridge for not covered areas
  - Flying in the night with laser scanners when real helicopters cannot flight
- Fixed wing:
  - first and fast scan of incident area
  - Equipped with high resolution cameras could send images of the area to the ground station and with computer vision algorithms detect on snow proofs of potential victim (gloves, ski, backpacks)
  - Radio/Wi-Fi/GSM bridge for not covered areas

#### **CNSAS** interest





#### Interest in "donkeys"

- "Aircraft carrier": docking and deployment station for wasps to increase efficiency and recharge batteries.
- Radio bridge. Almost fixed ground station with limited movements.
  Payload limited for batteries and hardware
- Useless in winter



# **Conclusions**



- Mountains: a very challenging environment for SAR missions, with many complex operational scenarios
- Some of these scenarios have been outlined
- Robotic technology may help, and we have the vision, but ...







# Application of Robotic Technologies to Mountain Rescue

Claudio Melchiorri

CAI — Italian Alpine Club University of Bologna



